



Centre for the Study of Communication and Culture

Volume 26 (2007) No. 4



Orality and Literacy 25 Years Later

Paul A. Soukup, S.J. Santa Clara University

A QUARTERLY REVIEW OF COMMUNICATION RESEARCH

ISSN: 0144-4646

Table of Contents

Orality and Literacy 25 Years Later 3
1. Introduction
2. Orality and Literacy3A. The Book3B. The Book's Reception6C. The Great Divide and Other Criticism8
3. After Orality and Literacy
4. Conclusion
Editor's Afterword
References
Additional Bibliography: Citation List21
Classifed Bibliography by Key Themes31
Book Reviews
Announcements

Communication Research Trends Volume 26 (2007) Number 4 http://cscc.scu.edu

Published four times a year by the Centre for the Study of Communication and Culture (CSCC), sponsored by the California Province of the Society of Jesus.

Copyright 2007. ISSN 0144-4646

Editor: William E. Biernatzki, S.J. Managing Editor: Paul A. Soukup, S.J. Editorial assistant: Yocupitzia Oseguera

Subscription:

Annual subscription (Vol. 26) US\$50

Payment by check, MasterCard, Visa or US\$ preferred. For payments by MasterCard or Visa, send full account number, expiration date, name on account, and signature.

Checks and/or International Money Orders (drawn on USA banks; for non-USA banks, add \$10 for handling) should be made payable to Communication Research Trends and sent to the managing editor

Paul A. Soukup, S.J. Communication Department Santa Clara University 500 El Camino Real Santa Clara, CA 95053 USA

Transfer by wire: Contact the managing editor. Add \$10 for handling.

Address all correspondence to the managing editor at the address shown above.

Tel: +1-408-554-5498 Fax: +1-408-554-4913 email: psoukup@scu.edu

The Centre for the Study of Communication and Culture (CSCC) is an international service of the Society of Jesus established in 1977 and currently managed by the California Province of the Society of Jesus, P.O. Box 519, Los Gatos, CA 95031-0519.

Orality and Literacy 25 Years Later

Paul A. Soukup, S.J. psoukup@scu.edu

1. Introduction

Walter Ong, S.J., published *Orality and Literacy*: The Technologizing of the Word 25 years ago, in 1982. The book appeared in Methuen Press's New Accents series, under the general editorship of Terence Hawkes, along with titles on literature, literary criticism, and popular culture. The series holds particular interest for communication scholars, as it presented general introductions to a number of areas that greatly influenced communication studies for a new generation of students. These included Hawkes's Structuralism and Semiotics (1977), Fiske and Hartley's Reading Television (1978), Hebdige's Subculture: The Meaning of Style (1979), Bennett's Formalism and Marxism (1979), and Ong's Orality and Literacy (1982). (Ong's book proved popular and the publisher re-issued it in 1988, leading some citations of Orality and Literacy to have the 1988 date.)

In his General Editor's preface, Hawkes explains that the New Accents series responds to the growing importance of literary studies. "Each volume in the series will seek to encourage rather than resist the process of change, to stretch rather than reinforce the boundaries that currently define literature and its academic study" (in Ong, 1982, p. ix). The series set out to explore new methods of analysis as well as "new concepts of literary forms," including electronic media. Though rooted in the academic area of literary studies and "contemporary approaches to language" (p. x), Hawkes consciously chose an interest in communica-

tion for the series. Hawkes concludes with this general guideline:

Each volume in the series will attempt an objective exposition of significant developments in its field up to the present as well as an account of its author's own views of the matter. Each will culminate in an informative bibliography as a guide to further study. And while each will be primarily concerned with matters relevant to its own specific interests, we can hope that a kind of conversation will be heard to develop between them; one whose accents may perhaps suggest the distinctive discourse of the future. (p. x)

Given the influence of the series and particularly of Orality and Literacy—"Ong's most widely known book; translated into 11 other languages" (Farrell, n.d.)—this issue of Communication Research Trends looks back at Orality and Literacy: the book, its reception, and its subsequent use in communication studies. Ong's work certainly influenced more than communication, but to attempt to review all of that runs well beyond the possibility of a focused review. However, TRENDS will attempt to indicate the scope of the influence of Orality and Literacy with several bibliographies. And so, this issue also includes a (most likely incomplete) citation bibliography as well as—in the spirit of Hawkes's "informative bibliography"—an abridged classified bibliography of themes introduced in Orality and Literacy.

2. Orality and Literacy

A. The Book

Even though, as Hawkes indicated in his preface, the book serves as a stand-alone survey of developments in its field, Ong regarded the book as the third member of his trilogy on studies of the word, preceded by *The Presence of the Word: Some Prolegomena for*

Cultural and Religious History (1967b) and Interfaces of the Word: Studies in the Evolution of Consciousness and Culture (1977). Ong flagged the connection to these previous works with his subtitle, "the technologizing of the word." The first two books explored themes of oral expression in the context of the "senso-

rium" or combination of human senses; stages of technological involvement with the word (writing, printing, electronic); characteristics of sound and the role of silence; ways in which technological transformations interact with psychological transformation; the relationship of developments in culture and consciousness; and ideas about the relationship of primary orality to secondary orality, particularly as manifest in newer electronic media.

The ideas presented in *Orality and Literacy* had long germinated in Ong's thought, with some elements appearing as early as in his published dissertation on the Renaissance scholar Peter Ramus (1958), and others in his three collections, The Barbarian Within (1962), In the Human Grain (1967a), and Rhetoric, Romance, and Technology (1971). Essays in these collections developed ideas about the history of rhetoric, visual representation and visualism more broadly, systems of thought, modes of conceptualization, the sense of audience, and the general interaction of culture and communication forms. Dance (1989) regards Orality and Literacy as a kind of summary of Ong's thinking, particularly in terms of how sound affects human thinking (p. 186), though the book does much more. For him it reveals Ong's concern with human culture, life, and the role of sound—or the neglect of sound (p. 196).

In all of his explorations of these topics—visualism, sound, the representation of thought, systems of consciousness, and so forth—Ong begins phenomenologically, as an historian of rhetoric and rhetorical forms. Evidence drawn from the changes in rhetoric and the contrasting understanding and expression of knowledge in Greek and Hebrew cultures grounds his explorations and eventually directs his attention to the role of communication media. His historical data pointed to the impact of the printing press. But he shortly came to understand that writing first highlighted the changes he noticed.

Ultimately *Orality and Literacy* summarizes and presents research on "basic differences . . . between the ways of managing knowledge and verbalization in primary oral cultures (cultures with no knowledge at all of writing) and in cultures deeply affected by the use of writing" (p. 1). By his own reckoning (Chapter 1) and one confirmed by Havelock (1986, pp. 25-26), an explosion of interest in oral culture and the growing impact of literacy occurred in the early 1960s, with the publication of several books on oral and written verbalization, as well as on the composition of the Homeric epics (Lord, 1960; Levy-Strauss, 1962;

McLuhan, 1962; Havelock, 1963; Mayr, 1963; and Goody & Watt, 1968).

Ong structures *Orality and Literacy* quite simply. The introductory chapter introduces the general concept of orality, with the next two chapters explicating that concept. Two following chapters address writing and literacy, with the next chapter examining narrative from oral and written perspectives. Ong concludes with a number of "theorems" in which he ties the historical information in the first six chapters to current trends in literary studies.

In Chapter 1 Ong introduces and situates his main concepts, all concentrated on the understanding of the "oral character of language" (p. 5). Here Ong reviews work in linguistics, applied linguistics, and sociolinguistics, particularly as they examine the dynamics of oral versus written verbalization. Ong's concern lies with language, but his background in literature cautions him and his reader against the academic prejudice towards and emphasis upon writing. And so here he stresses the importance of oral expression in cultures as well as the then-newly-growing appreciation for expressions like epic poetry and performances.

Chapter 2 provides a history of the awareness of the oral tradition, from ancient times to—really the focus of the chapter—the modern exploration of the Homeric question. That question dealt with the understanding of the composition of the *Iliad* and the Odyssey and their subsequent place of honor in the Western canon. Who "wrote" those poems? How? Generations had debated the question and Ong summarizes the responses, which he uses-particularly the work of Milman Parry (1928), Albert Lord (1960), and Adam Parry (1971)—to situate the current understanding of orality and primary oral cultures. He also shows how this newer understanding of primary oral cultures has informed the study of African, Asian, Arabic, and American narratives and expression. Finally, Ong introduces the work of Havelock (1963) that explores the consequences of the shift from primary orality to writing as a means of expression. In this context, he calls for more research from a wide variety of disciplines, but especially those that address questions of consciousness (pp. 28-30).

When many people think of *Orality and Literacy*, they perhaps immediately recall Chapters 3 and 4 since these two central chapters offer elegantly crafted summaries of the studies of orality and literacy. In Chapter 3, "Some psychodynamics of orality," Ong sets out "to generalize somewhat about the psychodynamics of pri-

mary oral cultures" (p. 31). Acknowledging the difficulty that a literate person has in imagining how one who does not have an experience of writing expresses oneself and, based on those expressions, thinks, Ong begins with a consideration of sound and the human experience of sound. Sound is immediate, temporal, and active. Words are not marks on a page, but names, incantations, events (pp. 32-33). Within the oral culture, people only know what they can recall and so memory techniques become vitally important as do memorable forms of expression (pp. 33-36). Ong then continues to list nine characteristics of orally based thought and expression, along with the evidence from anthropology, linguistics, literary studies, and rhetorical studies that supports his argument. (One difficulty arises here, as in other places in the book: Ong's clear, almost effortless, writing sometimes leads readers to reduce his chapter to a bullet list of the nine headings, omitting the carefully nuanced descriptions.) After describing those nine characteristics, Ong returns to the nature of verbal memory and how the techniques of memory (music, rhythm, or movement, for example) interact with the remembered material. These considerations lead again to a consideration of how the demands of memory affect the life style of the members of an oral culture, including how they shape narrative characters and community identity. These things, in turn, affect ways of thinking and ultimately consciousness.

Next comes a contrast with literacy. Writing, widely acquired only slowly over centuries, changes cultures through changing patterns of expression, or, in Ong's title of Chapter 4 "Writing restructures consciousness." The restructuring Ong has in mind comes to individuals through their cultures and comes in different ways: for example, where writing replaces a dependence on memory to preserve culturally important things, people both remember more and have time to think about other things (pp. 96-101). But before Ong tells that story, he describes writing and doubts about it ("context less," p. 78; absent an author, p. 79; external to an individual, p. 79; passive, p. 79; destroying the social order, p. 80). Writing is artificial, a technology (p. 81) with a particular history of scripts developed in a number of cultures, but only one alphabet (pp. 85-96). Cultures had to adapt to this new technology of writing, which they did, but over centuries, inventing uses for it and adapting existing customs to it, as for example its status as legal evidence (pp. 96-101). With this general introduction setting the stage, Ong suggests "some dynamics of textuality" (p. 101), not quite in parallel to his treatment of orality, but calling attention to what writing does to cultures and people. For example, writing removes people from direct or live interaction with one another, justifying solitude (pp. 101-102); writing allows or even encourages a distance between person and text (p. 103); writing supports an economy of style and the ability to polish text, removing inconsistencies (p. 104); writing establishes a "correct" form of a language (p. 107). Ong returns to the history of rhetoric to show how modes of expression, persuasion, and proof change with writing (pp. 109-112): the evidence remains frozen in texts preserved across the centuries. In all this, though, orality and traces of oral expression do not disappear—oral expression remains natural to humans where writing is always something learned.

As he did with the paired chapters on orality, Ong does here as well, but in the instance of Chapter 5 "give[s] some brief attention to print, for print both reinforces and transforms the effects of writing on thought and expression" (p. 117). Drawing on the work of scholars as varied as Clanchy (1979) and Eisenstein (1979), Ong examines what happens with print. Because of its automated nature and its identical pages, print promotes indexing texts (p. 123); it more definitively creates a sense of an object that contains information (p. 126); and it allows the creation of meaningful space—not only words but page layout can convey meaning (p. 127). Over time other consequences of print emerge: dictionaries (p. 130-131); private ownership of words and ideas, leading eventually to copyright (p. 131); and a sense of closure on the one hand and intertextuality on the other (pp. 132-133). Ong takes the opportunity of this chapter to briefly note electronic media as the next stage in the evolution of communication technologies and to introduce in this context what he had first noted in his 1971 Rhetoric, Romance, and Technology: the idea of secondary orality, that is, the re-emergence of orality in these new acoustic media, bringing with it again the distinctive characteristics of oral cultures (p. 135-138).

So far, then, *Orality and Literacy* introduces its twin concepts of spoken expression and written expression, paying close attention to the research that reports discoveries about their nature and consequences. In Chapters 6 and 7, Ong stays closer to his roots in literary studies and the history of rhetoric in order to better demonstrate the impact of this kind of study. Chapter 6 addresses narrative, story line, and characters as they appear in oral expression and in written texts. As

authors internalize writing, Western literature (the object of Ong's study) shows a shift in narrative structure as well as a change in the kinds of characters that inhabit that narrative. These shifts, he notes, correlate as well with the different sensitivities of hearer or reader.

Ong concludes the book with what he terms "some theorems" in Chapter 7. They are "more or less hypothetical statements that connect in various ways with what has already been explained here about orality and the orality-literacy shift" (p. 156). In these theorems Ong shows the relevance and promise of examining media shifts by engaging key elements of literary theory: literary history, New Criticism, Formalism, structuralism, deconstruction, speech-act theory, and reader-response theory. In each instance he makes claims as to how the historical and psychological understanding of oral cultures (and writing cultures) challenges basic assumptions of each theory. In turn, he invites students of each area to more fully engage the orality-literacy discoveries. He closes by moving the discussion in an interdisciplinary way. Any discipline that engages texts needs to know more about the nature of texts; any discipline that has an historical consciousness needs to know how even the very conceptualization of a "text" changes over time. Here, he invites philosophers, Biblical scholars, and social scientists in particular to re-visit long-held conclusions. Finally, in a forward-thinking expansion consistent with the New Accents series, he opens the door to a consideration of the media. While resisting a transportation model of communication, he stresses communication's human dimension and—true to his discussion of the impact of writing—notes that the transport model shows the impact of writing, since writing cultures "regard speech as more specifically informational than do oral cultures where speech is more performance-oriented, more a way of doing something to someone" (p. 177).

B. The Book's Reception

Reviewers, particularly those associated with rhetorical or communication studies, generally received *Orality and Literacy* quite favorably, recognizing its scope and noting that it provides a solid introduction to the areas under study. Some reviewers noted limitations and others felt that Ong's division between oral cultures and literate ones proved too stark.

Lippert (1982) sees the book as "an unprecedented work of synthesis" that "weaves a tremendous amount of material into a single compact thesis" (p. 401). Predicting that the book will become a "landmark" (p. 402) for cultural and communication studies,

he highlights its method, particularly in focusing the examination on the interface between cultures, as occurred in the culture of classical antiquity or the medieval period when oral cultures (the culture of the great mass of people) more clearly interacted with the chirographic ones of the educated elites.

Writing in the *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, Gronbeck (1984) praises the book as "both accumulative and analytical," "traditionalist and radical." "It solemnly pays homage to great anthropological, rhetorical, linguistic, and classical scholarship" (p. 207). He finds it an invaluable resource, but he recognizes that it will not satisfy all.

How might we evaluate it? Students of rhetoric, of course, will applaud its celebration of their self-interests and its discussion of rhetoric's classical/renaissance/contemporary heroes. Though Father Ong is careful to note that: "Orality is not an ideal, and never was" (p. 175), oral language and culture assuredly are the foci of the book. Perhaps this is only natural for someone underscoring the existence and operational features of oral culture in a time when writing and print dominate communication studies. But, more than that, given Ong's position on the interiority of the spoken word—his ability to harmonize psychological and experiential life—he certainly does more than present us with dispassionate evidence of oralityliteracy relationships. In spite of his effort to discuss briefly the virtues of literacy cultures (especially in Chapter 5), Ong cannot help himself; orality and life in oral culture are lionized. Rhetoric and rhapsody together forge mind and life into a whole.

The book, however, will be read, one suspects, in quite a different manner by literary theorists and critics. The arguments of Chapter 7... are not dilated fully enough to have an impact on the works of such giants as Claude Levi-Strauss, Jacques Derrida, Stanley Fish, Wolfgang Iser, H. P. Grice, Tzvetan Todorov, Michel Foucault, and Jacques Lacan. Ong's pivotal notion of "oral residue" which ultimately makes writing a "pretext" needs more complete integration with the methods of these currently popular theorists before his arguments can run. (pp. 207-208)

Gronbeck's balance seems prophetic. Reviews in journals of rhetoric, communication studies, and philosophy do indeed praise the book, while those in literary studies appear more cautious.

Enos (1984) in the *Rhetoric Society Quarterly* calls the book "brilliant" and then highlights what for

him forms the book's lasting importance. "Ong's great contribution is in showing how the transformation and adaptations of classical rhetoric from oral to written discourse helped transform the cognitive processes of cultures; in brief, the adaptation of rhetoric to new technologies helped restructure thought—even in societies which retained a 'heavy oral residue' (p. 99)" (p. 157). This recognition that Ong concentrates on rhetoric and expression will set the stage to refute the claims of some that Ong sets up a "great divide" between human cultures based on writing.

Bacon (1983) also praises the book, but cautions about its generalizations:

It is probably out of necessity that Ong yields (with enthusiasm) to large generalizations. It would require, as he notes, a far longer book to deal with all the ramifications of the views he champions. One must be sympathetic; but it is also possible to feel that the generalizations are often too large, the considerations of physiological processes of the human mind too briefly sketched, to quiet the uneasiness which readers may feel in following the flood of detail amassed in the volume. While the essential view is persuasive, to accept the argument in full remains in part an act of faith. (p. 271)

Theological journals accepted that "act of faith," as their reviewers recognized *Orality and Literacy*'s sweeping view of culture and communication, and its implications. Farrell (1982) and Rule (1983) praise the book in brief reviews, with Farrell providing a nuanced support for Ong's arguments by reference to the work of Bettelheim (p. 365). Another theological reviewer connects Ong's key question—"What difference has the advent of written language made in how people think, in how they see the world and act in it, and how they communicate their experiences to others?" (Kerr, 1984, p. 346)—with the more contemporary concern of "whether we will see similar change with move to computer- and video-based electronic communication" (p. 354).

Not everyone agreed with these purely positive evaluations. Of the reviews contemporary with the book's initial publication, Blom (1983), writing in *English Studies*, raises the most issues. Noting that Ong begins by rejecting a number of received notions about oral cultures, Blom questions Ong's own suggested theorems in Chapter 7. Then he adds:

However, even if most of the book is speculation (in spite of the semblances of scientific evidence every now and then) Ong makes a number of points worth contemning. His main theory is that "orality"—meaning the culture of non-literate societies—implies a framework of thought that is fundamentally different from that in any literate society. (p. 183).

Noting Ong's use of Luria's research to support some of his conclusions about oral cultures, Blom concludes, "One might well wonder here how far Ong's conclusions relate to primitivism rather than to orality" (p. 183). He goes on to adduce the sophistication of the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey* in refutation of Ong's position and later, in another context, draws a parallel to "Ong's pious manipulation of scientific evidence" (p. 184).

Not everyone in literary or discourse studies rejected the book. More recently, on its 20th anniversary re-printing, Dafouz-Milne (2004) praises the book for its interdisciplinary value:

It has offered me the opportunity to go beyond a linguistic framework and adopt an interdisciplinary view in which literature, anthropology, social psychology, and philosophy intermingle in a surprising and compelling way. To conclude, I believe that Ong's lucidly articulated theories and firmly documented examples make this book a standard introduction to the topic of orality and literacy, but, most importantly, make this book a pleasure to read. (p. 794)

Most reviewers of the book have given it a positive critical reception, though, as we have seen some have raised questions about Ong's seeming dichotomy between oral cultures and literate ones. More general press reviews judged it less suitable for the general reader. Leader (1983), writing in *The Times Literary* Supplement, objects to the repetitive and summary quality of the first chapters, but welcomes the newer material toward the end. Moore (1983) in The Christian Century, while finding the book rich in detail, raises "the minor criticism" that it seems like an annotated bibliography. Whatever the overall judgment, Orality and Literacy did receive wide attention. In addition to those mentioned here, reviews also appeared in the following publications: Comparative Literature (1984), Choice: Current Reviews for Academic Libraries (twice: 1983, 1995), College Composition and Communication (1985), Commonweal (1992), Journal of Communication (1984), Journal of Education (1989), L'Esprit Createur (1984), New Catholic World (Gaffney, 1983), The Review of English Studies (1985), The Sociological Review (1984), Village Voice Literary Supplement (1984), and World Literature Today (1983).

C. The Great Divide and Other Criticism

One part of Ong's presentation—the contrast between oral cultures and literate ones—has perhaps received more attention than other parts of the book. The status of this thesis, particularly what Blom calls primitivism and others have regarded as a "great divide" theory, has triggered debate over the years. Ong's need to generalize in the presentation of so much prior work allowed critics to read into the text, particularly in terms of a long-standing anthropological debate about the development of cultures.

In reviewing Havelock (1986), Connors (1988) notes the criticism after remarking how Ong had brought Havelock's work to bear on any number of literary and cultural issues. "Goody and Ong have in their turn been strongly criticized by other students of literacy, most notably Brian Street, for their equation of an autonomous and monistic 'literacy' with rationality, logic, and meaningful cultural development" (p. 380). He goes on to write that Street "makes the point that there are numerous literacies, and that to believe that reading-writing abilities in contemporary culture create cognitive abilities or constitute the only meaningful sort of literacy is narrow and discriminatory" (p. 380). Connors defends Havelock as taking a more narrow view, one restricted to his work as a classicist, but does not address the larger criticism he reports about studies of orality and literacy.

In his review of the same book by Havelock (1986), Enos (1987) sees Havelock's book as a companion piece to *Orality and Literacy* and notes that "Ong impresses by breadth, Havelock by his depth" (p. 209). However, he adds a word of caution to those who might conclude that Ong supports a "great divide" theory:

So enticing are their insights that both tempt the reader to over-extend, and over-estimate, the impact of literacy. Perhaps, however, that is a fault resting with the reader rather than with these two authors. In our eagerness to (at last) offer the scholarly world a clearly stated concept that makes speaking and writing relationships apparent, we have taken the observations of these two men more as definitive claims rather than cogently articulated descriptive frameworks waiting for research that will sharpen understanding further. Ong and Havelock have not answered the questions surrounding orality and literacy, they have shown that valid and important questions exist, ones that need to be answered. (pp. 209-210)

These two views (Connors and Enos) sketch out in a very rough fashion how readers have evaluated this one disputed reaction to *Orality and Literacy*.

The reaction gathered fuel from the publication within a few years of *Orality and Literacy* of a number of studies about the relationship of oral cultures to those with writing. Connors mentions Street (1984). Ruth Finnegan in Literacy and Orality (1988) sketches the argument against a "great divide," noting that the ethnographic and historical data do not support such a strict division. The next year Schousboe and Larsen in Literacy and Society (1989) support Finnegan's position by, like Street, emphasizing the uses of literacy, while other contributors to their collection criticize a focus on technologies in addressing the basic questions. (For more on this debate, see Collins, 1990.) The collection edited by Olson and Torrance (1991) attempts to present both sides of the debate, drawing on cognitive or psychological evidence as well as examining ethnographic case studies.

Other scholars have lined up in various ways. In his intellectual biography of Ong, Farrell (2000) strongly argues against those who attribute a "great divide" theory to Ong, agreeing with Enos that they have read things into Orality and Literacy that simply are not there (pp. 16-26; 156-163). Tannen (1988) rejects any kind of reading that orality and literacy form a dichotomous pairing, but argues that they are "complex and intertwined." In support of this, she cites Ong's work: "My current understanding of the complexities of discourse derives from analysis of strategies that have been linked to orality and literacy and was inspired by the vast and deep body of work Fr. Ong has given us, as well as by the work of many others who were inspired by his work" (p. 40). However, the confusion triggered by the debates has led her to move away from the orality/literacy terminology. She then further develops the point and clarifies that she does not regard Ong as holding for any kind of divide theory:

Underlying the imputation of causality between orality on the one hand and a deficiency in literacy on the other is an assumption of mutual exclusivity—in other words, that individuals and cultures are either oral or literate, not both. Father Ong's monumental work has shown the complex interrelationships between orality and literacy (his bibliography lists 18 books; for a succinct statement of his views see Ong 1982). My own research affords crucial counter evidence as well. . . .

I have tried in this paper to reinforce the point

frequently stated by Father Ong but sometimes forgotten when his theories are applied, that orality and literacy are not mutually exclusive. Rather, they are complex and intertwined dimensions, the understanding of which enriches and enables our understanding of language. (p. 42)

Finally, Rubin, Hafer, and Arata (2000) offer a more recent elucidation of the issue as they report their empirical testing of "reading and listening to oral-based versus literate-based discourse" (p. 121). Like Tannen, they choose not to use the terms, orality and literacy, because of the confusion about whether their use implies a divide. Ong's work as a cultural historian of literacy may sketch things too broadly, they note. Following Gee (1989) and Street (1984), they prefer to look at "the functions to which cultures or individuals put literacy" (p. 123).

Others have criticized *Orality and Literacy* on other grounds. Some find Ong dismissive of orality or prejudiced in favor of literacy (Dauterich, 2005, p. 27—though he acknowledges that such an interpretation is misleading) while others credit him as a "staunch defender of oral literacy" (Long, 1986, p. 3).

While recognizing the value of *Orality and Literacy* in laying out the issues, Jensen (1990) notes in passing that "earlier work [in which he includes *Orality and Literacy*] has overstated the transition from a print culture to a visual culture" (p. 135). He then goes on to qualify the transition based on historical evidence uncovered by other scholars. Similarly, though they make use of the book to support their argument about writing, Ono and Sloop (1992) feel that some of the claims made in *Orality and Literacy* need revision in the light of later research:

For example, Ong's (1988) argument that primary orality was fundamentally a pre-Gutenberg Press phenomenon might now be revised with greater consideration given to the cultural differences between African and Asian orality before the European shift (importation) of orality to Greece. We are not saying that Ong believed orality was conceived by the Greeks. We are suggesting that Ong privileges a moment in Western culture, the typographic printing of the Gutenberg Bible, to the exclusion of a wider perspective of culture that sees Africa and Asia as central moments in the genesis and revelation of orality (one is hard pressed to find more than a sentence or two on Africa in his book). (p. 57)

Elmer (1997) also finds the book valuable, noting that "... most researchers of the Internet have turned to the likes of Marshall McLuhan and Walter Ong in communication theory or William Gibson and James Joyce in literature to sketch a largely corporeal view of contemporary Internet culture. . ." (p. 182). His own interest in the process and consequences of an index leads to a footnoted critique of one small part of the book: "By way of comparison, Walter Ong's (1982, p. 123) discussion of the index tends to conflate its possibilities or qualities with that of the simple list, in so doing limiting an understanding of space to that of the structure of the printed word on a page (which is forthwith juxtaposed against the form and structure of the spoken word)" (p. 190). Each of these criticisms generally accept the overall accomplishment of Orality and Literacy but find that the book does not go far enough.

More serious criticism comes from those who question one or another premise of the book. Ess, Kawabalta, and Kurosaki (2007) do so in the introduction to a special issue of the Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication in which they connect Ong's work in Orality and Literacy to that of Harold Innis (1951), terming the perspective the Innis-Ong thesis— "perhaps the single most influential theory in communication studies in the latter half of the 20th century." They continue, "however, this approach has come under criticism on several points, beginning with its tendency toward a technological determinism that is no longer seen to hold up in the face of empirical evidence" (p. 953, note 1). Ess had made that point in greater detail in an earlier essay where he questions the claim that changes in communication media (from orality to literacy, for example) lead to a "profound cultural revolution" (Ess, 2004, p. 30, italics in original). For him, "the categorical distinctions between *orality* and *literacy* are increasingly suspect—precisely in light of more recent analysis of computer-mediated communication" (p. 30, italics in original). What he finds in these studies indicates a continuity of the categories. He also criticizes the thesis for its philosophical assumptions of technological determinism and neutrality of media (p. 31). Given that he conjoins the work of Ong with that of Innis as well as McLuhan, he may read into Orality and *Literacy* things left unsaid by Ong.

Biakolo (1999) also faults the general thesis about orality and literacy and sees problems both with Ong's dependence on other scholars and with his underlying assumptions. Under the first heading, one problem lies in "the binarism represented by the contrast of the two

terms" (p. 42), a criticism voiced by Ess and other postmodern thinkers. The separation of orality and literacy also raises for Biakolo the warning flags already indicated by the "great divide" theory (p. 50). The latter heading leads him to focus on just one aspect of the book:

But before going on to the actual mental and cultural paradigms that his description entails. we need to address the validity of his interpretive strategies and of his foundational premises. This can be done by examining his notion and use of the categories of time and space. Ong's arguments are so skillfully and authoritatively presented, with such a welter of scholarly references, that some elementary questions that need to be raised are quickly forgotten in the breathtaking force and boldness of the conceptualization. For instance, how can it be said that sound is oriented to time merely because it cannot be arrested in time? Is not the contrary equally true, namely, that sound is not oriented to time for the very reason that time cannot capture it, that it too quickly progresses through it? . . .

At the source of the problems that Ong's description raises is his conception of time and space. These two terms are notorious for the central but not always convincing stage they have occupied in philosophical debates since Newton and Leibniz. Those debates are only of marginal concern here, but it is important to note that Ong sometimes speaks of time in chronometric terms, and at other times in a kind of philosophical absolutism that is not even Newtonian but, rather, grossly physicalist. Time seems to him to be an inert mass spread-eagled in some nondescript reality and from whose bulky continuum

the spoken word is in a hurry to get away. We can compare this to the very sensible Kantian idea of time and space as a priori intuitions that do not inhere in the objects of experience, and enable us to represent them as distinct from ourselves and each other. (p. 44)

Biakolo continues his well-reasoned criticism by drawing on examples and experiences of African culture. He concludes with an acknowledgment of the challenge that Ong faced in *Orality and Literacy* and of the benefit of the development of postmodern thought: "It is to the credit of Walter Ong that he has unearthed, howbeit unintentionally, how cognate the oral-literate dichotomy is with the variety of cultural and racial prejudices which are dignified with the appellation of science . . . No field seems to be immune from this" (p. 62). He finally notes that all studies tell us as much, if not more, about the cultures in which they originate as they do about those they would study.

The benefit of all of these critiques comes from the debate about orality and literacy spurred on by Ong's book. Ong himself noted that the area calls for more research and understanding. Part of that understanding will emerge from agreement on terminology; agreement on just what different scholars study under the rubric of "orality" or "literacy"; and agreement on how we might assess the personal, cultural, psychological, and epistemological impact of communication technologies. Scholars in a variety of areas certainly took up the challenge. In addition to those already mentioned, the late 1980s also saw work published about orality and literacy in classical antiquity (Lentz, 1989; Harris, 1989; see also Russo, 1991, for other titles).

3. After Orality and Literacy

Orality and Literacy has had a continuing influence upon communication studies, becoming one of those books that appears on a great number of reading lists for graduate students and cited in undergraduate syllabi too numerous to count. Farrell (personal correspondence, September 30, 2007) even suggests that it is not cited more frequently because a generation of graduate students has come to intellectual maturity hearing of it so often that they take for granted its impact. A number of studies noted below do indeed seem to assume its importance and cite it almost in passing. More, however, use Orality and Literacy for

general background for a given research or experimental study; for specific evidence to support a contention about speech or writing, for example; or for material to situate a particular thesis.

In an attempt to sketch the impact of the book, this review will briefly examine studies in the following seven categories, staying mostly within the ambit of communication or communication-related research: orality and literacy or writing; oral cultures; rhetorical studies; studies of writing and print culture; new media and media ecology; computer-mediated communication; and more general studies.

A. Orality and Literacy Studies

Not surprisingly, any study done after 1982 exploring oral cultures or the acquisition of writing by oral cultures will make reference to Ong's work, either to disagree, as noted above (Street 1984; Finnegan 1988; Schousboe & Larsen, 1989) or to address the contentious issues (Olson & Torrance, 1991). De la Cardid Casas (1998) draws on Orality and Literacy's sketch of the relationship between speech and writing, especially the idea of a "grapholect" to analyze how Caribbean English creoles serve as a "poetic resource for the subversion of English colonialism" (p. 5). Kaschula (1995) approaches oral cultures and the interaction with literacy from an African perspective, in the analysis of the use of Xhosa poetry techniques in preaching styles. He warns, "One needs to be careful not to be rigid in one's views of what exactly should make up orality as opposed to literacy in a society where both clearly coexist side by side" (p. 72) and feels that Ong's description is too close to the great divide theory. His observations begin in a close reading/hearing of discourse, both written and oral. As we have seen, Biakolo (1999) most clearly sets up a debate with the thesis of Orality and Literacy as he attempts to develop the "theoretical foundations" of orality and literacy, drawing also on the experience of African expression. He does, however, recognize that his perspective in writing 17 years after Ong and from a different cultural reality allows him to identify aspects of oral cultures and their encounter with writing that Ong could not have known. On the other had, the very form of Tannen's (1988) published conference paper allows her to show the interrelationships between oral expression and writing. These forms are "complex and intertwined dimensions" as she has shown through "close analysis of tape-recorded, transcribed casual conversation" (p. 42).

A number of others opt for the complexity indicated by Tannen. Dauterich (2005) refers to it as "hybrid expression" in his analysis of the writings of Toni Morrison. "In *Beloved* and *Jazz*, Morrison works with ideas of storytelling that compare to Walter Ong's ideas of 'primary oral cultures' in his book, *Orality & Literacy*" (pp. 26-27). But Dauterich notes how the novelist weaves the oral into the narrative of the written and so concludes that both forms affect the other, something he says that Ong had already pointed out. "Ong recognizes the interdependence of forms and the impossibility of understanding oral forms through writing alone" (p. 27). While he is more interested in the representation of oral culture and follows Ong's work

there, he could just as well have pointed out that the written forms move back into oral expression in the complex process of secondary orality. Though examining a different kind of discourse—here a global magazine—Machin and van Leeuwen (2005) find that the same kind of hybrid expression works to create a global attractiveness in the writing of *Cosmopolitan* magazine, across all of its various regional editions. Their analysis of the oral style draws directly from *Orality and Literacy*; they suggest that the magazine writers, consciously or unconsciously, use oral devices to "make sure that people will remember the brand, the product, the message" (p. 591).

As noted above, Rubin, Hafer, and Arata (2000) essay an empirical test of people's comprehension of oral-based or literate-based discourse. Though they disagree with the perceived split between oral and literate, they do note a number of characteristics of literacy drawn from Ong's report (p. 123). Their study found that college students did better when tested on understanding of oral-based work, whether exposed to it orally or in writing. Comprehension of writing took more effort, though writing, as a form, served better for acquiring information.

A number of other applied studies in communication use Orality and Literacy to ground their discussions of the different modalities of discourse. In a study of discourses in advertising and the cognitive and linguistic processes revealed in ad copy, Koll-Stobbe (1994) finds its summary helpful, particularly as it balances oral and literate and acknowledges secondary orality. In another business study, this time of government communication and management, van Woerkum (2003) applies the different characteristics of oral and written discourse to resolve problems in communication. "The main argument is that the orality of officials is text-bound, inclining towards the literary style, language, and features of documents, which means stressing details and differences. Meanwhile, citizens—as listeners—are more strongly oriented towards the speakers' intentions and the gist of the story" (p. 105). Finally, Lo and Wong (1990) use Orality and Literacy's summary of the characteristics of oral and literate thought to analyze discourse strategies in Chinese press reports, noting that the quality press uses literacy-oriented strategies while the popular press uses orality-oriented ones (p. 27). They note how this pattern also resembles that of spoken Cantonese, in contrast to standard Chinese—a written language.

B. Oral Cultures

Some scholars using Orality and Literacy as a source take a more narrow approach, examining, for example, just oral cultures or the orality of a culture. Many times, Ong's book provides a context for a particular examination of discourse patterns. Kwansah-Aidoo's (2001) exploration of Ghanaian communication and its reliance on storytelling turns to oral characteristics as a methodological issue in research in the Ghanaian culture. Since individuals often would not give information to a stranger but would tell stories, the stories themselves serve as data. One key for the researcher lies in recognizing the role of the spoken word, what Ong terms "the word as event," in the culture. Nelson (2000) relies on a similar context of orality to inform her case study of a Tongolese women's musical organization and its characteristic choric communication. She notes that "although Aiyele and many of the group members can read and write, their musical tradition stems from an oral culture" (p. 280) and that the somatic memory described by Ong in oral cultures holds great importance for this group. She continues, "Ong also makes the important point that while the economy of written texts dissects and distances, sound envelops, unifies, and harmonizes, leading to a 'participatory economy" (p. 280). Such participation makes the choric communication of the group "intensely enculturating" (p. 268).

Johnstone (2003) studies American dialectic and discourse usages. He notes that ethnographers have long explored the use of narrative and have found, for example, that "for Athabaskans, experiences and stories about them are the primary source of knowledge, as reality is socially constructed through narrative" (p. 86). He then acknowledges the wider application of this claim to oral cultures, drawing his information from *Orality and Literacy*. Though discussing McLuhan and providing a kind of history of McLuhan's development of the idea of spatial communication, Cavell (1999) turns to Ong to clarify the notion of "acoustic space," conceptualized and better understood as a product of an oral culture.

C. Rhetorical Studies

Closely related to an interest in oral cultures and oral expression, the rhetorical studies tradition also looks to Ong's work. Because he drew heavily on his own work in the history of rhetoric, Ong provides a natural source for later students. In probably the most sweeping application, Blondheim and Blum-Kulka

(2001) examine 2000 years of Jewish rhetoric. Though they take their research lead from Innis (1951), they acknowledge (in a general footnote) the scholarship of Orality and Literacy as a framework to describe the transition from oral cultures to script-based cultures. As their study moves closer to the present age, they again cite Ong, this time for the concept of secondary orality (p. 513). Haskins (2001) provides another historical study and "argues for a reconsideration of the role of the 'literate revolution' in the disciplining of rhetorical practice in the fourth century BCE. Specifically, the argument addresses the tension between oral memory and literate rationality in Isocrates and Aristotle to illustrate two divergent possibilities of appropriating oral linguistic resources of a culture" (p. 158). She acknowledges Orality and Literacy (particularly for its discussion of the impact of writing on consciousness), but generally stays closer to Havelock's more focused studies of ancient Greece.

Situated in the contemporary period, Kowal, O'Connell, Forbush, Higgins, Clarke, and D'Anna (1997) turn to examining inaugural addresses for their "complex interplay of literacy and orality." These addresses, they note, are oral performances but written texts. Their general hypothesis, tested through a variety of linguistic measures and discourse techniques, is "that changes in media technology and in Presidential governance have moved both text and performance of inaugurals in the 20th century in the direction of 'conversational style" (p. 1). Ong's work provides a general background, particularly in terms of the role of script and its relationship to consciousness (pp. 26-27). The written text, they note from Ong, is not real words but codes that evoke real words in the consciousness of readers. Examining the inaugural addresses of 42 U.S. presidents from Washington to Clinton and comparing audio recordings where available, they found that oral characteristics increase in the contemporary period.

Enos (1999) offers a general reflection on rhetorical scholarship and the importance of seeing the relationship between orality and literacy. At the same time, he bemoans the seeming loss of interest in historical studies of rhetoric and oratory in the National Communication Association, despite the popularity and influence of *Orality and Literacy*. Fisher (1984), however, did take up that challenge. He extends the history of rhetoric far beyond ancient Greece to argue for what he calls "the narrative paradigm." This approach envisions a particular understanding of reason and rationality based on the "universal function" of

narrative, support for which he finds in Orality and Literacy's description of the role of storytelling in oral cultures (pp. 8, 14). Reid (1994) also turns to Orality and Literacy to provide historical grounding for his study of narrative technique in the Gospel of Mark. He notes, "During the past quarter century Walter Ong and Eric Havelock have made a convincing case for a model of gradual but persistent change and adaptation in the process of transition from the oral to the literate culture, change characterized by a simultaneous attending to overlapping diversity of audiences for any given discourse" (p. 429). He credits Ong with showing how the art of rhetoric emerged from written analysis and then goes on to examine sophistic compositional theory and its recommendations about style. In a wonderful application, Reid shows how the Gospel of Mark in the New Testament conforms to these stylistic forms. What biblical scholars had long regarded as the most oral of gospel texts finds, in Reid's analysis, a textual ground.

Several other rhetorical theorists also use *Orality* and Literacy as a support or foil for their own work. Engnell (1998) adopts its primary versus secondary orality distinction as a pattern for his own critical re-reading of the work of Kenneth Burke. Cyphert (2001) references the same pattern in order to call attention to the ways in which new communication media (the product or manifestation of secondary orality) made new forms of rhetoric "possible, effective, or necessary" (p. 387). He goes on to argue "that contemporary critical vexations are not unrelated, but stem from a single theoretical source: a failure to consistently distinguish between culture-bound rhetorical practice and the transcultural processes by which humans create and maintain rhetorical community" (p. 378). As seen above, Ono and Sloop (1992), however, feel that Ong's treatment of writing "might now be revised with greater consideration given to the cultural differences between African and Asian orality before the European shift (importation) of orality to Greece" (p. 57). Acknowledging Ong's breadth of argument, they still suggest that he privileges a moment in Western culture, "to the exclusion of a wider perspective of culture that sees Africa and Asia as central moments in the genesis and revelation of orality (one is hard pressed to find more than a sentence or two on Africa in his book)" (p. 57).

Finally, Anderson and Cissna (1996) and Cissna and Anderson (1998) draw on *Orality and Literacy* in developing a rhetorical theory of dialogue. Its general approach allows them to situate different approaches to rhetoric, moving from the classical study of the avail-

able means of persuasion to more contemporary interactions. "We believe conceptions of rhetoric should not be confined or limited to occasions of focused speaker-to-listener, goal-derived, and goal-directed behavior. Indeed, the movement away from an exclusively intentional, unidirectional, formulaic, and agonistic influence model is, with some exceptions, the vector of 20th century rhetorical thought" (Anderson & Cissna, 1996, p. 89). Here they turn to Ong for their context of the history of rhetoric. Their more explicitly theoretical article (Cissna & Anderson, 1998) similarly relies on Ong's work for context.

D. Writing

With its sweeping history and review of the different modes of communication, Orality and Literacy also provided a source for those interested in writing or print. Kalthoff (2005) acknowledges its analysis of the effects of writing, which includes "the new ordering of culture and time" (p. 93, note 23), as part of the background to a study of the "aspects of calculation as it is carried out in risk management departments" (p. 69). The book serves a similar function in Athwal's (2004) application of the work of Innis to understanding comparative politics. For Athwal the key change happens with the shift from ear to eye triggered by print (p. 270). Brumberger (2004), in a study of the effects of typography on reading time, comprehension, and communicator ethos, uses the book's section on writing for a theoretical understanding of how writing or print locks words into a visual field and renders them "context-free" (p. 13). The same process bestows a power upon typography analogous to that of rhetoric in oral discourse. Maun (2006) also relies on Ong in his empirical study of "the impact of visual format on readers' affective responses to authentic foreign language texts" (p. 110). Kotchemidova (2005), noting Ong's conclusion that literacy "has made us more analytical and critical" (p. 13), draws on this evidence in her analysis of "the history of the toothy smile as a standard expression in snapshots" (p. 2). She traces how the Kodak corporation's advertising used visual elements—often in contrast to print—to circumvent that analytical and critical mind set in order to change people's attitudes to photography. In each of these cases, the description of the cultural changes introduced by writing and print provides evidence used by the researchers in support of their arguments.

Others focus on more specific results of writing or print. In their analysis of postmodern rock culture, Herman and Sloop (1998) offer a case study of a particular band whose record company withdrew a song due to worries about copyright infringement. They refer to Orality and Literacy for the historical background of copyright theory: "If, as Walter Ong (191-92) argues, ideas of copyright and ownership come from the logics tied to literacy and print, their transformation comes with the dominance of electronic media, especially hypertextual forms of consciousness" (p. 14). Dresner (2006) similarly relies on Ong's research, though in the very different context of presenting Donald Davidson's philosophy of communication. In Dresner's case, the aspect of print culture most relevant is the dictionary—"an artifact of script and print culture" (p. 167). The role of the dictionary in the mapping of languages and the translation of texts informs Davidson's theory of meaning. Grey (1999) also relies on Ong to provide evidence of the alienation triggered by print: "Print, being something that is possessed and looked at rather than spoken, gave rise to a sense of alienation produced by objectification" (p. 326, note 36). This attribute of print leads to particular attitudes toward printed materials, especially probability and statistics and the "visualist impulse . . . as a way to define knowledge" (p. 303).

Rufo (2003) draws more extensively from *Orality* and *Literacy* and its treatment of print in order to lay the foundation for his reinterpretation of Jacques Lacan's "mirror stage." "Beginning with a critical/deconstructive reading of Lacan's position, I argue that the mirror stage, and perhaps the whole of Lacan's psychoanalytic project, is premised upon the media ecology of print" (p. 117). Rufo's dependence on Ong's interpretation draws on the very nature of print:

In his landmark introduction to the nascent discipline of media ecology, Walter Ong (1982, p. 150) writes: "The very reflectiveness of writing—enforced by the slowness of the writing process as compared to oral delivery as well as by the isolation of the writer as compared to the oral performer—encourages growth of consciousness out of the unconscious." This brief statement, written to explain the rise of the modern detective story and the modes of its resolution, poses a much more substantial mystery: can the whole of the psychoanalytic enterprise, from Freud through to Lacan, be explained by indebtedness to particular media of communication?"

This capacity to turn back to a text constitutes what Ong (1982) describes as the reflectiveness of writing; the reader can think both about the

text itself and the distance between the text and the reader. (p. 119)

Rufo's re-reading of Lacan follows Ong's situating of new literary forms in the characteristics of print. Rufo also credits Ong (as do many others) with providing a foundational text for media ecology.

E. New Media and Media Ecology

Media ecologists have quickly taken up Orality and Literacy (and indeed all of Ong's vast corpus) as foundational for their enterprise. Media ecology studies media environments and the ideas that communication media, technology, techniques, patterns, institutions, and so on play a role in human life (see Strate, 2004). Ong's examinations of how written expression differs from oral expression, of the impact of print, and of the possibilities of secondary orality fit quite well into the perspective and methodologies of media ecology. And so, any number of media ecology scholars as well as those interested in new media draw on Ong's work. Alexander (2006) makes the connection explicit in her review of Lum (2006), as she discusses how that work addresses "oral or typographic cultures" and the always present danger of treating "media as causal mechanisms for changing social structures" (p. 366). Strate devotes a section of his introduction to and review of media ecology to Ong and orality and literacy studies (2004, pp. 12-15).

Though he makes no explicit reference to media ecology, Anton (2002) takes that perspective in a phenomenological analysis of discourse, spatiality, and temporality. Here he draws on Ong's analysis of how, for example, "the modern printing press, literacy most broadly, has magnified this surpassing of space and time, and thus, transformed the meaning of world" (p. 195). Altheide (1994), again independently of the media ecology tradition, sketches his own ecology of communication in trying to understand the contemporary social order. He, too, recognizes in Orality and Literacy a legitimate approach, though he wishes to emphasize "less the 'messaging' component of the meaning process described by Ong (1982) . . . and address the logic and principles of technologically informed communication that have become a more important part of our effective environment" (p. 666). Kluver (2002) sees Ong as a fellow media ecologist who has argued "that various media formats differ in multiple ways, including the nature of the media/user interaction, content, production, audience use and reaction." Kluver then outlines a project to set forth "the ways in which these media formats affect the public imagination of international relations" based on the logics of media format (p. 501).

Other scholars take Ong as a point of departure, particularly in studying new media. While Jensen (1990) feels that Ong may have "overstated the transition from a print culture to a visual culture" (p. 135), he nonetheless accepts that new media have led to a new media environment. Haynes (1988) depends more clearly on *Orality and Literacy*, using it as a key source in developing his own phenomenology of media:

For present purposes, this thesis has been explored most cogently in the work of Walter J. Ong, S.J. Father Ong's essays trace the evolution of rhetoric from its oral epic beginnings in a state of culture he labels Primary Orality to the recent state of High Literacy and beyond. Ong believes we are now entering a time of Secondary Orality, when the linear thought modes of High Literacy are being countered by an instantaneous kind of experiential communication that in many ways resembles the preliterate mode of Homeric Greece but that inevitably retains much literate process as well. (p. 74)

Ong's proposed concept of a secondary orality holds attraction for many scholars attempting to understand contemporary communication contexts. Bertelsen (1992) sees it as a way of understanding, for example, how people interact with government. He sets out his purpose in this way: "This essay particularly explores manifestations of democracy—government systems of, by, and for the people—in three cultural systems characterized by their dominant communication technologies: oral, literate, and electronic" (p. 325). The last group, electronic, is constructed around secondary orality.

F. Computer-Mediated Communication

Another area of communication research that draws heavily on the concepts of secondary orality, media ecology, and the cognitive impact of communication examines computers and computer-mediated communication. Because their focus of study constitutes a relatively new area of communicative interaction, scholars have looked to Ong's book to help craft a theoretical grounding for their work. Strate (1999) offers a taxonomy both of cyberspace and of the term, "cyberspace," as he explores what scholars have done and might do in studying computer communication. He draws on *Orality and Literacy*'s analysis of space, presence, and absence to show what might happen in

cyberspace (p. 399). Bardini and Horvath (1995) propose a social construction of the personal computer user and find secondary orality a helpful way to frame mediated interpersonal interaction (p. 60). The next year, December (1996) reasoned in the opposite direction, seeing computer-mediated communication as helping to understand "how literacy and orality are affected by communication technology" (p. 15). Here, too, Ong's work provides the context, this time for a more general methodological approach to computers and communication. Feenberg and Bakardjieva (2004) examine the idea of virtual communities, drawing a parallel to the impact of print outlined in Orality and Literacy, and wondering whether a similar impact could be found in the phenomenon of online community (p. 39). Following "Ong's pioneering work on examining orality and literacy in light of emerging understandings toward communication in these more technologically sophisticated times," Moss and Shank (2002, abstract) proposed that computer-mediated interaction be studied "as a post literate technological change of language itself."

In a careful examination of online urban legends, Fernback (2003) notes the blend of oral expression, folklore, and written forms appearing on the Internet. Ong's characteristics of oral cultures provides the points of analysis of online discourse; his concept of secondary orality, the theoretical basis for the study (pp. 37-38). Kibby (2005) also uses secondary orality as the theoretical background for his study of online folklore—this time in the form of items forwarded from one user to another via email. "Email communication is a form of secondary orality. Although based on writing, it privileges orality, in that the dynamics of an exchange reflect a participatory event that heightens a feeling of community" (pp. 771-772). In a general review of communication textbooks, Cole (1999) frames contemporary culture in the world of secondary orality, contrasting that with the world of the traditional textbook.

This [secondary orality] implies it may be foolhardy for educators to maintain an outpost of literary defense against the rationality-shaping and communication-shaping influence of television, music, computers, and visual arts. At very least, Ong's position suggests we supplement the linearity of the textbook with the non-linearity of popular forms of mass media in order to unfold the often subtle and nuanced contours of oral communication concepts. (p. 327)

Others use Ong's division of communication modes (oral, literate, secondary oral) in studies of computer-mediated communication. Dreyer Berg (1991) examines word usage and cultural characteristics in tracking how computers have affected literacy, noting the contemporary period as the era of secondary orality. Mejias (2001) explores the creation of virtual reality, highlighting the material and immaterial dimensions of technology. Here, Orality and Literacy provides not only a sense of changing technology, but more importantly a theoretical grounding for the interior effects of technology (p. 213) and for the bias of literacy (pp. 217-219). Schmidt (2003) develops a study of Russian cyberculture and literary discussion in public chat rooms and blogs against the background of Ong's media theory. As noted earlier Ess, Kawabalta, and Kurosaki (2007) acknowledge the importance of the "Innis-Ong thesis" (p. 953, note 1) as they introduce their special journal edition on religion and computermediated communication. They not only highlight the role of technology today, but also point out how various contributors to the journal situate online religious behaviors as participating in secondary orality.

Finally a number of studies refer only to specific parts of Orality and Literacy's description of communication modes. Cali (2000) examines the logic of webbased documents, noting how this kind of rhetorical analysis differs from that applied to speeches or printed versions of speeches. He draws on Ong's comments on the privacy of a text, as well as on the role of footnotes and references in printed works. Elmer (1997) "investigates the significance of the index in the process of first, mapping and formatting the sites, spaces, and words on the Internet and, second, diagnosing, tracking, and soliciting users" (p. 182). But the study argues that the role and function on an online index differs from that of the printed index, as described by Ong, since the printed index depends on "the structure of the printed word on a page (which is forthwith juxtaposed [by Ong] against the form and structure of the spoken word)" (p. 190, note 2).

G. General Studies

While the above six categories give some sense of the impact of Orality and Literacy on communication studies, they do not tell the whole story. Others, in related communication areas, also draw on the book. Cobb (2003), for example, uses its data to provide background from "the extensive work on defining the characteristics of written texts, i.e., on defining the differences between speech and writing" (p. 415) for a replication study of language learning and language acquisition and the suitability of measuring such learning "using computerized learner text as its evidence" (p. 395). Hatim (2004) relies on "residual orality" to frame a study of translation. He investigates the concept of "markedness" of texts, where the style of how a speaker or writer expresses something matters rather than the speaker's or writer's content. Translators, of course, must convey both but a good translator must distinguish between intended stylistic features and those resulting from residual orality. Thomas (2000) attempts to expand Ong's concept of secondary orality to one of "secondary ritualization" for a post-literate culture. Perlina (1998) also focuses on the postmodern by bringing Ong's work into dialogue or symposia with such figures as Olga Freidenberg, Carlo Ginzburg and Mikhail Bakhun. Rich, Johnson, and Olsen (2003) report on performance studies where teachers seek "to dislodge traditional notions of orality and literacy" in seeking "a more embodied human experience" (p. 1). Finally, Honeycutt (2004, qtd. in Bates & Southard, 2005) applies the concept of secondary orality to dictation: "the analysis shows how dictation's shifting role as a form of literacy has been influenced by the dual mediation of technological tools and existing cultural practices" (Bates & Southard, 2005, p. 110).

4. Conclusion

Ong's book has stood the test of time well. Even 25 years after its original publication, it remains in print, is recognized as a still valuable source by scholars, is taught in graduate programs, and commands attention from those interested in areas as divergent as rhetorical studies and media ecology. Several reasons might

explain the book's longevity. First, it summarized and introduced to a wider scholarly public (particularly those in communication studies) an interdisciplinary body of research that opened people's eyes to a different vision of communication. Rhetorical studies certainly knew the spoken word, but rhetoric treated and analyzed

words in a particular way, according to its canons of interpretation and quality. *Orality and Literacy* stepped back from that to ask how the very speaking of words marked out a way of being human. Mass media studies knew technologies of communication, but they often skipped over the printing press and looked not at historical data but at the data presented by more recent studies of the electronic mass media. *Orality and Literacy* again stepped back and called attention both to print and to what print does to our being human.

Another reason for the book's longevity lies in its general approach, what Strate (2004) and others have termed its media ecology. *Orality and Literacy* does indeed present a different way of studying communication because it looks at the grand sweep, the environment for communication, the environment of communication, and the environment created by communication. It shows how all of these connect to one another. Presenting an open-ended thesis, it invites more research and reflection on how media and content and humans interact.

Finally, the book has fared so well because, as the initial reviewers pointed out, it is so well written. One need not struggle with its expression. But this may well present a danger: we read it so effortlessly that we think we understand more than we might.

Ong never meant *Orality and Literacy* to constitute a final word on the topic; rather it serves as a snapshot of the state of thinking and research in the early 1980s. Ong urged continued research of every kind. Perhaps some of the greatest tributes to the book come in the form of those who would refute it, argue with it, lay hold of it, think with it.

The evidence of its impact lies in the bibliographies that follow. And that is quite a tribute from any academic community. The fact that Orality and Literacy influences communication studies, literary studies, sociological studies, anthropological studies, business studies, education studies, political science studies, medical studies, and on and on makes that tribute so much the greater.

Editor's Afterword

Walter Ong's book, Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word, has been a major contribution to scholarship on the border area between the two modes of communication highlighted in the book's title in at least two ways: first, by drawing together in a coherent presentation the many diverse approaches that had previously been made to the subject, and second, by doing so in an eminently accessible way. The topic is not one of mere academic or theoretical interest. It impinges on all aspects of contemporary life that involve people of diverse cultural or language backgrounds and/or different levels of education, even among those from the same population. It can block communication between the "functionally illiterate" urban or rural poor and those who hold positions of responsibility in their communities and take for granted assumptions derived from literacy. It also operates at the national and international levels, where politicians reject or ignore the needs voiced by their constituents or fail to understand and acknowledge validity in the demands of rival states in negotiations.

In the contemporary world we cannot expect to find purely "primary" oral cultures, since even the most secluded societies are inevitably influenced, at least indirectly, by literate cultures. On the other hand, few, if any societies can claim to have no oral cultural components. Mixtures of oral and literate influences in modern cultures are complex and so interwoven as to defy simple analysis. The rise of electronic media with their dominantly oral patterns of (albeit mostly oneway) communication has added additional complications and given rise to their classification as instruments of "secondary" orality.

As many of the writers cited above have concluded, Ong's work does not support a dichotomy between oral and literate cultures. Instead it lays a foundation for understanding how the two tendencies interact within the same cultural environment. Exploration of their interaction has barely begun, but it offers a fertile field for both speculation and empirical research. Some directions in which that exploration might go offer hope of building greater understanding among peoples whose communication may thus far have been hampered by their opposed perspectives that stem from an oral/literate dichotomy. As we have mentioned, and Ong recognized, that dichotomy is a false

one, and efforts to overcome it can offer a step towards peaceful resolution of at least some of their differences.

—W. E. Biernatzki, S.J. General Editor

References

- Alexander, M. S. (2006). The media ecology perspective [Review of the book Perspectives on culture, technology and communication: The media ecology tradition]. *The Review of Communication*, *6*(4), 365-368.
- Altheide, D. L. (1994). An ecology of communication: Toward a mapping of the effective environment. *Sociological Quarterly*, *35*(4), 665-683.
- Anderson, R., & Cissna, K. N. (1996). Criticism and conversational texts: Rhetorical bases of role, audience, and style in the Buber-Rogers dialogue. *Human Studies*, 19(1), 85-118.
- Anton, C. (2002). Discourse as care: A phenomenological consideration of spatiality and temporality. *Human Studies*, 25(2), 185-205.
- Athwal, A. (2004). Harold Innis and comparative politics: A critical assessment. *Canadian Journal of Political Science / Revue Canadienne de Science Politique*, 37(2), 259-280.
- Bacon, W. A. (1983). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 16(4), 270-271.
- Bardini, T., & Horvath, A. T. (1995). The social construction of the personal-computer user. *Journal of Communication*, 45(3), 40-65.
- Bates, C., & Southard, S. (2005). Literacy and the writing voice: The intersection of culture and technology in dictation. *Technical Communication*, *52*(1), 110.
- Bennett, T. (1979). Formalism and Marxism. London and New York, Methuen.
- Bertelsen, D. A. (1992). Media form and government: Democracy as an archetypal image in the electronic age. *Communication Quarterly*, 40(4), 325-337.
- Biakolo, E. (1999). On the theoretical foundations of orality and literacy. *Research in African Literatures*, 30(2), 42-65.
- Blom, J. M. (1983). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *English Studies*, 64, 182-185.
- Blondheim, M., & Blum-Kulka, S. (2001). Literacy, orality, television: Mediation and authenticity in Jewish conversational arguing, 1-2000 C.E. *The Communication Review*, 4, 511-540.
- Brumberger, E. (2004). The rhetoric of typography: Effects on reading time, reading comprehension, and perceptions of ethos. *Technical Communication*, *51*(1), 13-24.
- Cali, D. D. (2000). The logic of the link: The associative paradigm in communication criticism. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 17(4), 397-408.

- Cavell, R. (1999). McLuhan and spatial communication. *Western Journal of Communication*, 63(3), 348-363.
- Cissna, K. N., & Anderson, R. (1998). Theorizing about dialogic moments: The Buber-Rogers position and post-modern themes. *Communication Theory*, 8(1), 63-104.
- Clanchy, M. T. (1979). From memory to written record: England, 1066-1307. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Cobb, T. (2003). Analyzing late interlanguage with learner corpora: Quebec replications of three European studies. Canadian Modern Language Review/Revue Canadienne Des Langues Vivantes, 59(3), 393-423.
- Cole, R. A. (1999). Beyond the textbook: Teaching communication concepts through computers and music videos. *Communication Education*, 48, 237-238.
- Collins, J. (1990). Book reviews: Linguistic anthropology. *American Anthropologist*, *92*(4), 1037-1038.
- Connors, R. J. (1988). [Review of the book The muse learns to write: Reflections on orality and literacy from antiquity to the present.] *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 74(3), 379-381.
- Cyphert, D. (2001). Ideology, knowledge and text: Pulling at the knot in Ariadne's thread. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 87(4), 378-395.
- Dafouz-Milne, E. (2004). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the written [sic] word]. *Discourse & Society, 15*(6), 793-794.
- Dance, F. X. (1989). Ong's voice: "I," the oral intellect, you, and we. *Text and Performance Quarterly*, *9*, 185-198.
- Dauterich, E. (2005). Hybrid expression: Orality and literacy in "Jazz" and "Beloved." *The Midwest Quarterly*, 47(1), 26-39.
- De la Cardid Casas, M. (1998). Orality and literacy in a postcolonial world. *Social Semiotics*, 8(1), 5-24.
- December, J. (1996). Units of analysis for Internet communication. *Journal of Communication*, 46(1), 14-38.
- Dresner, E. (2006). Davidson's philosophy of communication. *Communication Theory*, 16(2), 155-172.
- Dreyer Berg, E. (1991). Computers, literacy, and dirty cultural words. *Etc.*, 47, 256-259.
- Eisenstein, E. (1979). The print press as an agent of change: Communications and cultural transformations in early-modern Europe. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Elmer, G. (1997). Spaces of surveillance: Indexicality and solicitation on the Internet. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 14(2), 182-191.
- Engnell, R. A. (1998). Materiality, symbolicity, and the rhetoric of order: "Dialectical biologism" as motive in Burke. *Western Journal of Communication*, 62(1), 1-25.
- Enos, R. L. (1984). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *RSQ: Rhetoric Society Quarterly, 14*(3/4), 157-158.
- Enos, R. L. (1987). [Review of the book The muse learns to write: Reflections on orality and literacy from antiqui-

- ty to the present.] RSQ: Rhetoric Society Quarterly, 17(2), 209-212.
- Enos, R. L. (1999). Recovering the lost art of researching the history of rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly* 29(4), 7-20.
- Ess, C. (2004). Critical thinking and the Bible in the age of new media [Introduction]. In C. Ess (Ed.), *Critical Thinking and the Bible in the Age of New Media* (pp. 1–56). Lanham, MD: University Press of America.
- Ess, C., Kawabalta, A., & Kurosaki, H. (2007). Cross-cultural perspectives on religion and computer-mediated communication. *Journal of Computer-mediated Communication*, 12(3), 939-955.
- Farrell, T. J. (1982). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Cross Currents*, 32, 364-365.
- Farrell, T. J. (2000). Walter Ong's contributions to cultural studies: The phenomenology of the word and I-Thou communication. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Farrell, T. J. (n.d.). Thomas J. Farrell's homepage. Retrieved October 15, 2007 from http://www.d.umn.edu/~tfarrell/main/ong-books.php.
- Feenberg, A., & Bakardjieva, M. (2004). Virtual community: No 'killer implication.' *New Media & Society, 6*(1), 37-43.
- Fernback, J. (2003). Legends on the net: An examination of computer-mediated communication as a locus of oral culture. *New Media & Society*, *5*(1), 29-45.
- Finnegan, R. (1988). Literacy and orality: Studies in the technology of communication. New York: Basil Blackwell.
- Fisher, W. R. (1984). Narration as a human communication paradigm: The case of public moral argument. *Communication Monographs*, *51*, 1-22.
- Fiske, J., & Hartley, J. (1978). *Reading television*. London and New York, Methuen.
- Gaffney, J. (1983). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *New Catholic World*, 226, 136-137.
- Gee, J. P. (1989). Orality and literacy: From "The Savage Mind" to "Ways with Words." *Journal of Education*, 171, 39-60.
- Goody, J., & Watt, I. (1968). The consequences of literacy. In J. Goody, ed., *Literacy in traditional societies* (pp. 27-68). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Grey, S. H. (1999). The statistical war on equality: Visions of American virtuosity in *The Bell Curve. Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 85(3), 303-329.
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1984). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70(2), 206-208.
- Haskins, E. V. (2001). Rhetoric between orality and literacy: Cultural memory and performance in Isocrates and Aristotle. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 87(2), 158-178.

- Hatim, B. (2004). The translation of style: Linguistic markedness and textual evaluativeness. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 229-246.
- Havelock, E. A. (1963). *Preface to Plato*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Havelock, E. A. (1986). *The muse learns to write: Reflections on orality and literacy from antiquity to the present.*New Haven, CT and London: Yale University Press.
- Hawkes, T. (1977). Structuralism and semiotics. London and New York, Methuen.
- Haynes, W. L. (1988). Of that which we cannot write: Some notes on the phenomenology of media. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 74, 71-101.
- Hebdige, D. (1979). Subculture: The meaning of style. London and New York, Methuen.
- Herman, A., & Sloop, J. M. (1998). The politics of authenticity in postmodern rock culture: The case of Negativland and "The Letter 'U' and the Numeral '2." *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 15(1), 1-20.
- Honeycutt, L. (2004). Literacy and the writing voice: The intersection of culture and technology in dictation. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 18(3), 294-327.
- Innis, H. A. (1951). *The bias of communication*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Jensen, K. B. (1990). Television futures: A social action methodology for studying interpretive communities. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 7, 129-146.
- Johnstone, B. (2003). Conversation, text, and discourse. *American Speech*, Supplement 88, 75-98.
- Kalthoff, H. (2005). Practices of calculation: Economic representations and risk management. *Theory Culture & Society*, 22(2), 69-95.
- Kaschula, R. H. (1995). Preachers and poets: Oral poetry within the religious cosmology of the Xhosa. *South African Journal of African Languages*, 15(2), 65-73.
- Kerr, S. T. (1984). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Theology Today, 41*, 346-354.
- Kibby, M. D (2005). Email forwardables: Folklore in the age of the Internet. *New Media & Society*, 7(6), 770-790.
- Kluver, A. R. (2002). The logic of new media in international affairs. *New Media & Society*, 4(4), 499-517.
- Koll-Stobbe, A. (1994). Message merchants: Cognitive aspects of advertising cultural discourse. *Folia Linguistica*, 28(3-4), 385-398.
- Kotchemidova, C. (2005). Why we say "cheese": Producing the smile in snapshot photography. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 22(1), 2-25.
- Kowal, S., O'Connell, D. C., Forbush, K., Higgins, M., Clarke, L., & D'Anna, K. (1997). Interplay of literacy and orality in inaugural rhetoric. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 26(1), 1-31.

- Kwansah-Aidoo, K. (2001). Telling stories: The epistemological value of anecdotes in Ghanaian communication research. *Media Culture & Society*, 23(3), 359-.
- Leader, Z. (1983, July 29). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *The Times Literary Supplement*, 801.
- Lentz, T. M. (1989). *Orality and literacy in Hellenic Greece*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Lévi-Strauss, C. (1962). La pensée sauvage. Paris: Plon.
- Lippert, P. (1982). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Etc.*, *39*, 399-402.
- Lo, T., & Wong, C. (1990). Polyglossia in the 'printed Cantonese' mass media in Hong Kong. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, *I*(1), 27-43).
- Long, B. W. (1986). Both with open eyes. Spectra, 22(2), 3-4.Lord, A. (1960). A singer of tales. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lum, C. (Ed.). (2005). Perspectives on culture, technology, and communication: The media ecology tradition. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Machin, D.& van Leeuwen, T. (2005). Language style and lifestyle: The case of a global magazine. *Media Culture & Society, 27*(4) 577-.
- Maun, I. (2006). Penetrating the surface: The impact of visual format on readers' affective responses to authentic foreign language texts. *Language Awareness*, 15(2), 110-127.
- Mayr, E. (1963). *Animal species and evolution*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McLuhan, M. (1962). *The Gutenberg galaxy: The making of typographic man*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Mejias, U. A. (2001). Sustainable communicational realities in the age of virtuality. *Critical Studies in Media Communication 18*(2), 211-228.
- Moore, W. T. (1983, March 23-30). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *The Christian Century, 100*, 285.
- Moss, M., & Shank, G. (2002). Using qualitative processes in computer technology research on online learning: Lessons in change from "teaching as intentional learning." *Forum: Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 3(2).
- Nelson, S. (2000). Choric communication: The case of a Togolese women's musical organization. *Text and Performance Quarterly*, 20(3), 268-289.
- Olson, D. R., & Torrance, N. (Eds). (1991). *Literacy and Orality*. Cambridge, UK, and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ong, W. J. (1958). *Ramus, method, and the decay of dialogue*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Ong, W. J. (1962). *The barbarian within: And other fugitive essays and studies*. New York: Macmillan.
- Ong, W. J. (1967a). In the human grain: Further explorations of contemporary culture. New York: Macmillan.

- Ong, W. J. (1967b). The presence of the word: Some prolegomena for cultural and religious history. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Ong, W. J. (1971). Rhetoric, romance, and technology: Studies in the interaction of expression and culture. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Ong, W. J. (1977) Interfaces of the word: Studies in the evolution of consciousness and culture. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Ong, W. J. (1982, 1988). *Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word*. London and New York, Methuen.
- Ono, K. A., & Sloop, J. M. (1992). Commitment to *telos* A sustained critical rhetoric. *Communication Monographs*, 59, 48-60.
- Parry, A. (1971). Introduction. In A. Parry, Ed., *The making of Homeric verse: The collected papers of Milman Parry* (pp. ix-vlii). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Parry, M. (1928). L'Epithète traditionelle dans Homère. Paris: Société Éditrice Les Belles Lettres.
- Perlina, N. (1998). The Freidenberg-Bakhtin correlation. Elementa: Journal of Slavic Studies & Comparative Cultural Semiotics, 4(1), 1-15.
- Reid, R. S. (1994). When words were a power loosed: Audience expectation and *finished* narrative technique in the *Gospel of Mark*. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 80, 427-447.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1983, April). *CHOICE: Current Reviews for Academic Libraries*, 20, 1130.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1995, March). *CHOICE: Current Reviews for Academic Libraries*, 32, 1059.\
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1985). *College Composition and Communication*, 36, 363.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1992). *Commonweal*, 119(20), 13.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1984, Spring). *Comparative Literature*, 162.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1983, July). *Encounter*, 66.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1984). *Journal of Communication, XX*(2), 206.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1989). *Journal of Education*, 171(1) Annual, 40.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1984). L'Esprit Createur, 24, 86.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1985). *The Review of English Studies*, 36, 245.

- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1984, May). *The Sociological Review*, 437.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1984, April). *Village Voice Literary Supplement*, 17.
- [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. (1983, Autumn). *World Literature Today*, 694.
- Rich, M. D., Johnson, J. R., & Olsen, D. S. (2003). Order, chaos and the (cyber)spaces betwixt and between: The interconnections of performance and technology. *American Communication Journal*, *6*(3), 1.
- Rubin, D. L., Hafer, T., & Arata, K. (2000). Reading and listening to oral-based versus literate-based discourse. *Communication Education*, 49(2), 121-133.
- Rufo, K. (2003). The mirror in the matrix of media ecology. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 20(2), 117-140.
- Rule, P C. (1983). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Theological Studies*, 44, 531-532.
- Russo, J. (1991). [Review of the book Orality and Literacy in Hellenic Greece.] *Journal of American Folklore*, 104(413), 382-384.
- Schmidt, H. (2003). Knots in the network: Literature competitions on the Russian Internet. *Zeitschrift Fur Slawistik*, 48(1), 38-54.
- Schousboe, K., & Larsen, M. T. (Eds.). (1989). *Literacy and Society*. Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag.
- Strate, L. (1999). The varieties of cyberspace: Problems in definition and delimitation. *Western Journal of Communication*, 63(3), 382-412.
- Strate, L. (2004). Media ecology. *Communication Research Trends*, 23(2), 1-48.
- Street, B. V. (1984). *Literacy in theory and practice*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Tannen, D. (1988). The commingling of orality and literacy in giving a paper at a scholarly conference. *American Speech*, 63(1), 34-43.
- Thomas, G. (2000). Secondary ritualization in a postliterate culture: Reconsidering and expanding Walter Ong's contribution on "secondary orality." *Soundings*, 83(2), 385-409.
- Van Woerkum, C. (2003). Orality and external communication. *Document Design*, 4(2), 104-112.
- Winkgens, M. (1985). [Review of the book Orality and literacy: The technologizing of the word]. *Literaturwissenschaftliches Jahrbuch im Auftrage der Görres-Gesellschaft*, 26, 442-445.

Additional Bibliography: Citation List

Ahearn, L. M. (2001). Language and agency. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 30, 109-137.

- Allen, L. (2004). Music and politics in Africa. Social Dynamics: A Journal of the Centre for African Studies University of Cape Town, 30(2), 1-19.
- Altheide, D. L. (1999). The technological seam. *Studies in Symbolic Interaction*, 22, 223-245.
- Ames, A. L. & Corbin, M. (2007). Information architecture: Contributing strategically to the success of our customers and our businesses. *Technical Communication*, *54*(1), 11-15.
- Andersen, J. (2002). Communication technologies and the concept of knowledge organization: A medium-theory perspective. *Knowledge Organization*, 29(1), 29-39.
- Anderson, R. (2003). Literature and the particularities of dialogue. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 89(1), 78-82.
- Aoki, K. (1996). Intellectual property and sovereignty: Notes toward a cultural geography of authorship. *Stanford Law Review*, 48(5), 1293-1355.
- Atkinson, R. (2000). A rationale for the redesign of scholarly information exchange. *Library Resources & Technical Services*, 44(2), 59-69.
- Babe, R. E. (2006). Innis and the news. *Javnost the Public*, *13*(3), 43-55.
- Bailey, P. (1994). Conspiracies of meaning: Music-hall and the knowingness of popular-culture. *Past & Present*, 144, 138-170.
- Bamberg, M. (2004). Talk, small stories, and adolescent identities. *Human Development*, 47(6), 366-369.
- Bannon, L. (2000). Towards artificial memories? *Travail Humain*, 63(3), 277-285.
- Barbe, K. (2001). The dilemma with dichotomies. *Language & Communication*, 21(1), 89-103.
- Baron, N. S. (1998). Letters by phone or speech by other means: The linguistics of email. *Language & Communication*, 18(2), 133-170.
- Bates, M. J. (2006). Fundamental forms of information. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 57(8), 1033-1045.
- Baugh, J. (2007). Plantation English in America: Nonstandard varieties and the quest for educational equity. Research in the Teaching of English, 41(4), 465-472.
- Baumgarten, J. (1996). Jewish literature in the Yiddish language (16th-17th centuries): Religious crisis, vernacular culture and propagation of the faith. *Annales-Histoire Sciences Sociales*, 51(2), 491-492.
- Bawden, D. (2001). Information and digital literacies: A review of concepts. *Journal of Documentation*, *57*(2), 218-259.
- Baym, N. K. (1996). Agreements and disagreements in a computer-mediated discussion. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 29(4), 315-345.
- Beetham, M. (2006). Periodicals and the new media: Women and imagined communities. *Women's Studies International Forum, 29*(3), 231-240.

- Bellah, R. N. (2005). What is axial about the axial age? *Archives Europeennes de Sociologie, 46*(1), 69-90.
- Besnier, N. (1994). Christianity, authority, and personhood: Sermonic discourse on Nukulaelae Atoll. *Journal of the Polynesian Society, 103*(4), 339-378.
- Bethune, C. (2004). Jazz as a second form of orality. *Homme*, *171*, 443-457.
- Bhola, H. S. (2000). Literacy, culture, and identity: An essay review. *Curriculum Inquiry*, *30*(4), 497-503.
- Bialock, D. T. (2007). Swords, oaths, and prophetic visions: Authoring warrior rule in medieval Japan. *Journal of Japanese Studies*, 33(1), 161-166.
- Biber, D. (1995). [Review of Cross-cultural approaches to literacy]. *Language in Society*, 24(3), 447-451.
- Biernatzki, W. E (1996). Review article: Recent work on information/communication and secondary orality. *Communication Research Trends*, 16(3), 17-29.
- Bigelow, M., & Tarone, E. (2004). The role of literacy level in second language acquisition: Doesn't who we study determine what we know? *Tesol Quarterly*, 38(4), 689-700.
- Biggs, S. (2003). "Charlotte's web": How one woman weaves positive relationships on the net. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior, 3*(4), 655-663.
- Bilimoria, D., Cooperrider, D. L., Kaczmarski, K., Khalsa, G., Srivastva, S., & Upadhayaya, P. (1995). A call to organizational scholarship. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 4(1), 71-90.
- Birchall, A., Deakin, A., & Rada, R. (1994). Knowledge automation and the need for intermediaries. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 26(4), 181-192.
- Bird-David, N. (2004). No past, no present: A critical Nayaka perspective on cultural remembering. *American Ethnologist*, 31(3), 406-421.
- Birth, K. (2006). The immanent past: Culture and psyche at the juncture of memory and history. *Ethos*, 34(2), 169-191.
- Bjorvell, C., Wredling, R., & Thorell-Ekstrand, I. (2003). Improving documentation using a nursing model. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43(4), 402-410.
- Blum-Kulka, S., Blondheim, M., & Hacohen, G. (2002). Traditions of dispute: From negotiations of Talmudic texts to the arena of political discourse in the media. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34(10-11), 1569-1594.
- Bolens, G. (2003). Continuity and transformation of corporeal logics. *History and Philosophy of the Life Sciences*, 25(4), 471-480.
- Brandt, D., & Clinton, K. (2002). Limits of the local: Expanding perspectives on literacy as a social practice. *Journal of Literacy Research*, *34*(3), 337-356.
- Brokaw, G. (2003). The poetics of Khipu historiography: Felipe Guaman Poma De Ayala's nueva coronica and the relacion de los Quipucamayos. *Latin American Research Review*, 38(3), 111-147.

- Bromme, R., & Stahl, E. (2005). Is a hypertext a book or a space? The impact of different introductory metaphors on hypertext construction. *Computers & Education*, 44(2), 115-133.
- Bruner, M. O., & Elschlaeger, M. (1994). Rhetoric, environmentalism, and environmental ethics. *Environmental Ethics*, 16(4), 377-396.
- Buchholz, P. (1994). Signposts of meaning: Tokens of power—aspects of early-medieval literary-criticism according to authorial comment. *Mankind Quarterly*, 34(3), 225-247.
- Burnett, G. (2002). The scattered members of an invisible republic: Virtual communities and Paul Ricoeur's hermeneutics. *Library Quarterly*, 72(2), 155-178.
- Castiglione, C. (2004). Adversarial literacy: How peasant politics influenced noble governing of the Roman countryside during the early modern period. *American Historical Review*, 109(3), 783-804.
- Chatterjee, K. (2005). The king of controversy: History and nation-making in late colonial India. *American Historical Review*, 110(5), 1454-1475.
- Chaturvedi, V. (2006). The making of a peasant king in colonial western India: The case of Ranchod Vira. *Past & Present*, 192(1), 155-185.
- Cheyne, A., & Tarulli, D. (1998). Paradigmatic psychology in narrative perspective: Adventure, ordeal, and bildung. *Narrative Inquiry*, 8, 1-25.
- Classen, C. (1997). Foundations for an anthropology of the senses. *International Social Science Journal*, 49(153), 401-412.
- Coleman, S. (1996). Words as things: Language, aesthetics and the objectification of Protestant evangelicalism. *Journal of Material Culture, 1*(1), 107-128.
- Collins, J. (1995). Literacy and literacies. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 24, 75-93.
- Collins, D. E. (2006). Speech reporting and the suppression of orality in seventeenth-century Russian trial dossiers. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 7(2), 265-292.
- Conniff, B. B., Ortle, C., & Joseph, M. F. (1994). Poetry in the adult literacy class. *Journal of Reading*, 37(4), 304-308.
- Corkery, C. (2005). Literacy narratives and confidence building in the writing classroom. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 24(1), 48-67.
- Couch, C. J. (1995). Oh, what webs those phantoms spin. *Symbolic Interaction*, 18(3), 229-245.
- Craig, D. (2000). Practical logics: The shapes and lessons of popular medical knowledge and practice: Examples from Vietnam and indigenous Australia. *Social Science & Medicine*, 51(5), 703-711.
- Crawford, P., Nolan, P., & Brown, B. (1995). Linguistic entrapment: Medico-nursing biographies as fictions. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 22(6), 1141-1148.

- Cummings, W. (2003). Rethinking the imbrication of orality and literacy: Historical discourse in early modern Makassar. *Journal of Asian Studies*, 62(2), 531-551.
- Czubek, T. A. (2006). Blue Listerine, parochialism, and ASL literacy. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 11(3), 373-381.
- Daane, M. (2000). Literacy matters: Writing and reading the social self. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 44(3), 292-293.
- Dalbello, M. (2004). Institutional shaping of cultural memory: Digital library as environment for textual transmission. *Library Quarterly*, 74(3), 265-298.
- Danet, B. (1997). Books, letters, documents: The changing aesthetics of texts in late print culture. *Journal of Material Culture*, 2(1), 5-38.
- Daniel, J. L., & Effinger, M. J. (1996). Bosom biscuits: A study of African American intergenerational communication. *Journal of Black Studies*, 27(2), 183-200.
- Dannels, D. R. (2003). Teaching and learning design presentations in engineering: Contradictions between academic and workplace activity systems. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 17(2), 139-169.
- De Vries, L. (2003). New Guinea communities without writing and views of primary orality. *Anthropos*, 98(2), 397-405.
- Deibert, R. J. (1996). Typographica: The medium and the medieval-to-modern transformation. *Review of International Studies*, 22(1), 29-56.
- Deibert, R. J. (1997). Exorcismus theoriae: Pragmatism, metaphors and the return of the medieval in IR theory. *European Journal of International Relations*, 3(2), 167-192.
- Demeter, T. (1999). From classical studies towards epistemology: The work of Jozsef Balogh. *Studies in East European Thought*, 51(4), 287-305.
- Demeter, T. C. A., & Nyiri, J. C. (1999). Tradition and individuality: Philosophical essays. *Studies in East European Thought*, *51*(4), 329-340.
- Derks, W. (1996). If not to anything else: Some reflections on modern Indonesian literature. *Bijdragen Tot De Taal-Land- En Volkenkunde*, *152*(3), 341-352.
- Deschenes, B. (2001). Partial views and universals: Comments of a musician on art and the brain. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 8(1), 31-34.
- Deschenes, B. (2003). The biological foundations of music. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 10(8), 91-94.
- Deschenes, B. (2004). Mirror of consciousness: Art, creativity & veda. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 11(2), 80-81.
- Dexter, E. R., Levine, S. E., & Velasco, P. M. (1998). Maternal schooling and health-related language and literacy skills in rural Mexico. *Comparative Education Review*, 42(2), 139-162.
- Donovan, J. (1997). Women and the framed-novelle: A tradition of their own. *Signs*, 22(4), 947-978.

- Donovan, S. K. (2005). Ten percent of nothing. The case of the literary agent from hell. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 37(1), 55-67.
- Dooley, B. (1999). Veritas filia temporis: Experience and belief in early modern culture. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 60(3), 487-504.
- Duffy, J. (2000). Never hold a pencil: Rhetoric and relations in the concept of preliteracy. *Written Communication*, 17(2), 224-257.
- Duncan-Andrade, J. M. R. (2005). An examination of the sociopolitical history of Chicanos and its relationship to school performance. *Urban Education*, 40(6), 576-605.
- Dutu, A. (1997). National identity and tensional factors in South Eastern Europe. *East European Quarterly*, 31(2), 195-205.
- Echols, L. D., West, R. F., Stanovich, K. E., & Zehr, K. S. (1996). Using children's literacy activities to predict growth in verbal cognitive skills: A longitudinal investigation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 88(2), 296-304.
- Edwards, D. B. (1995). Print Islam: Media and religious revolution in Afghanistan. *Anthropological Quarterly*, 68(3), 171-184.
- Edwards, V., & Nwenmely, H. (1995). Language, literacy, and world-view. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 19(2), 267-279.
- Ehrenberg, S. (2004). Embracing the writing-centered legal process. *Iowa Law Review*, 89(4), 1159-1199.
- Eisend, M. (2002). The Internet as a new medium for the sciences? The effects of internet use on traditional scientific communication media among social scientists in Germany. *Online Information Review*, 26(5), 307-317.
- Ellerby, J. H., McKenzie, J., McKay, S., Gariepy, G. I., & Kaufert, J. M. (2000). Bioethics for clinicians: 18 Aboriginal cultures. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 163(7), 845-850.
- Emmertsen, S. (2007). Interviewers' challenging questions in British debate interviews. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(3), 570-591.
- Enfield, N. J. (2005). The body as a cognitive artifact in kinship representations: Hand gesture diagrams by speakers of Lao. *Current Anthropology*, 46(1), 51-81.
- Eskritt, M., & Lee, K. (2002). Remember where you last saw that card: Children's production of external symbols as a memory aid. *Developmental Psychology*, 38(2), 254-266.
- Eskritt, M., Lee, K., & Donald, M. (2001). The influence of symbolic literacy on memory: Testing Plate's hypothesis. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 55(1), 39-50.
- Ezzamel, M., Lilley, S., & Willmott, H. (2004). Accounting representation and the road to commercial salvation. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 29(8), 783-813.
- Farnell, B. (1994). Ethno-graphics and the moving body. *Man*, 29(4), 929-974.

- Feigenson, N. R. (1995). The rhetoric of torts: How advocates help jurors think about causation, reasonableness, and responsibility. *Hastings Law Journal*, 47(1), 61-.
- Fenstermacher, G. D. (1994). The knower and the known: The nature of knowledge in research on teaching. *Review of Research in Education*, 20, 3-56.
- Fischer, M. M. J. (2006). Culture and cultural analysis. *Theory Culture & Society*, 23(2/3), 360-364.
- Fischer, M. M. J. (2007). Culture and cultural analysis as experimental systems. *Cultural Anthropology*, 22(1), 1-65.
- Fischer, G., & Konomi, S. (2007). Innovative socio-technical environments in support of distributed intelligence and lifelong learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 23(4), 338-350.
- Flaming, D. (2003). Orality to literacy: Effects on nursing knowledge. *Nursing Outlook*, *51*(5), 233-238.
- Fletcher, C. (1996). The 250lb man in an alley: Police storytelling. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 9(5), 36-42.
- Fortmann, L. (1995). Talking claims: Discursive strategies in contesting property. *World Development*, 23(6), 1053-1063.
- Fortunati, L. (2005). Is body-to-body communication still the prototype? *Information Society, 21*(1), 53-61.
- Fox, C. (1998). Serious play: The relationship between young children's oral invented stories and their learning. Current Psychology of Cognition, 17(2), 211-228.
- Fox, R. (2003). Substantial transmissions: A presuppositional analysis of 'The Old Javanese Text' as an object of knowledge, and its implications for the study of religion in Bali. *Bijdragen Tot De Taal- Land- En Volkenkunde*, 159(1), 65-107.
- Fuller, C. J. (2001). Orality, literacy, and memorization: Priestly education in contemporary South India. *Modern Asian Studies*, 35(1), 1-31.
- Fuller, S. (1993). A method to Mirowski mad use of metaphor. *History of Political Economy*, 25, 69-82.
- Gall, M. & Breeze, N. (2005). Music composition lessons: The multimodal affordances of technology. *Educational Review*, *57*(4), 415-433.
- Gee, J. P. (2006). Oral discourse in a world of literacy. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 41(2), 153-159.
- Geisler, C., Bazerman, C., Doheny-Farina, S., Gurak, L., Haas, C., Johnson-Eilola, J., Kaufer, D. S., Lunsford, A., Miller, C. R., Winsor, D., & Yates, J. (2001). Itext: Future directions for research on the relationship between information technology and writing. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 15(3), 269-308.
- Georgakopoulou, A. (1996). The audience shaping of textstrategies in spoken discourse: Adults vs children addressees and the case of modern Greek. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 25(5), 649-674.

- Gerber, D. A. (1997). The immigrant letter between positivism and populism: The uses of immigrant personal correspondence in twentieth-century American scholarship. *Journal of American Ethnic History*, 16(4), 3-34.
- Gergen, K. (1997). Who speaks and who replies in human science scholarship? *History of the Human Sciences*, 10(3), 151-173.
- Gergen, K. J. (2000). The self in the age of information. *Washington Quarterly*, 23(1), 201-214.
- Ghosh, P. (2003). Unrolling a narrative scroll: Artistic practice and identity in late-nineteenth-century Bengal. *Journal of Asian Studies*, 62(3), 835-871.
- Gillen, J., Barrett, E., Lally, V. E., Purcell, S., & Thresh, R. (2001). Signposts for educational research cd-rom: A multimedia resource for the beginning researcher. *British Educational Research Journal*, 27(1), 114-115.
- Glade, F. (2004). Critical reading and writing. *Discourse Studies*, 6(2), 280-282.
- Gould, J. (1995). American-Indian womens poetry: Strategies of rage and hope. *Signs*, 20(4), 797-817.
- Grey, C. (1996). C. P. Snow's fictional sociology of management and organizations. *Organization*, *3*(1), 61-83.
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1997). Tradition and technology in local newscasts: The social psychology of form. *Sociological Quarterly, 38*(2), 361-374.
- Gurak, L. J. (1998). A rhetoric of electronic communities. Journal of Business and Technical Communication, 12(2), 270-273.
- Hakanson, L. (2007). Creating knowledge: The power and logic of articulation. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(1), 51-88.
- Hall, B. W. (1994). Information technology and global learning for sustainable development: Promise and problems. *Alternatives-Social Transformation and Humane Governance*, 19(1), 99-132.
- Harrison, H. (2000). Newspapers and nationalism in rural China 1890-1929. *Past & Present, 166*, 181-204.
- Hart-Davidson, W. (2001). On writing, technical communication, and information technology: The core competencies of technical communication. *Technical Communication*, 48(2), 145-155.
- Haskell, R. E. (2003). A logico-mathematic, structural methodology: Part I, the analysis and validation of sub-literal (sublit) cognition and language. *Journal of Mind and Behavior, 24*(3-4), 347-400.
- Haskell, R. E. (2004). A logico-mathematic, structural methodology: Part III, theoretical, evidential, and corroborative bases of a new cognitive unconscious for sub-literal (sublit) cognition and language. *Journal of Mind and Behavior*, 25(4), 287-321.
- Hasselbladh, H. & Kallinikos, J. (2000). The project of rationalization: A critique and reappraisal of neo- institutionalism in organization studies. *Organization Studies*, 21(4), 697-720.

- Havelock, E. A. (1998). Orality, literacy, and Star Wars. *Written Communication*; *15*(3), 351-360. [Reprints the article Orality, literacy, and Star Wars, which appeared in the October 1986 issue of *Written Communication*, *3*(4)].
- Hay, R. (2006). Becoming ecosynchronous Part 2: Achieving sustainable development via personal development. Sustainable Development, 14(1), 1-15.
- Hay, R. (1998). A rooted sense of place in cross-cultural perspective. *Canadian Geographer / Geographe Canadien*, 42(3), 245-266.
- Hay, D. & Socha, P. M. (2005). Science looks at spirituality: Spirituality as a natural phenomenon bringing biological and psychological perspectives together. *Zygon*, 40(3), 589-612.
- Head, R. (2003). Knowing like a state: The transformation of political knowledge in Swiss archives, 1450-1770. *Journal of Modern History*, 75(4), 745-782.
- Heath, C. W. (1997). Children's television in Ghana: A discourse about modernity. *African Affairs*, *96*(383), 261-275.
- Hellermann, J. (2006). Classroom interactive practices for developing L2 literacy: A microethnographic study of two beginning adult learners of English. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(3), 377-404.
- Henry, R. R. (1999). Measles, Hmong, and metaphor: Culture change and illness management under conditions of immigration. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 13(1), 32-50.
- Herman, R. (1999). The aloha state: Place names and the anti-conquest of Hawai'i. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 89(1), 76-102.
- Hernandez, R. L. (2004). Sacred sound and sacred substance: Church bells and the auditory culture of Russian villages during the Bolshevik velikii perelom. *American Historical Review, 109*(5), 1475-1504.
- Hine, C. (1995). Representations of information technology in disciplinary development: Disappearing plants and invisible networks. *Science Technology & Human Values*, 20(1), 65-85.
- Hirschkind, C. (2001). Civic virtue and religious reason: An Islamic counterpublic. *Cultural Anthropology*, *16*(1), 3-34.
- Hobohm, H. C. (1995). Entering the new market place: On the role of traditional social-science information providers within the Internet community. *IFLA Journal*, 21(1), 26-30.
- Hokanson, B. & Hooper, S. (2000). Computers as cognitive media: Examining the potential of computers in education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 16(5), 537-552.
- Hollenbeck, J. (1998). Democracy and computer conferencing. *Theory into Practice*, *37*(1), 38-45.
- Hopkin, D. M. (2003). Love riddles, couple formation, and local identity in Eastern France. *Journal of Family History*, 28(3), 339-363.

- Horvatich, P. (1994). Ways of knowing Islam. *American Ethnologist*, 21(4), 811-821.
- Hoskin, K. (1995). The viewing self and the world we view: Beyond the perspectival illusion. *Organization*, 2(1), 141-162.
- Houston, S., Baines, J., & Cooper, J. (2003). Last writing: Script obsolescence in Egypt, Mesopotamia, and Mesoamerica. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 45(3), 430-479.
- Hoyrup, J. (2001). On a collection of geometrical riddles and their role in the shaping of four to six "algebras". *Science in Context*, 14(1-2), 85-131.
- Hudson, J. M., & Bruckman, A. S. (2004). The bystander effect: A lens for understanding patterns of participation. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, *13*(2), 165-195.
- Hughes, P. (1995). Orality, literacy, television: Subsumption or appropriation? *Media International Australia*, 77, 145-154.
- Hyden, L. C., & Peolsson, M. (2002). Pain gestures: The orchestration of speech and body gestures. *Health*, 6(3), 325-345.
- Innes, M. (1998). Memory, orality, and literacy in an early medieval society. *Past & Present*, *158*, 3-36.
- Isekebarnes, J. M. (1996). Issues of educational uses of the Internet: Power and criticism in communications and searching. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 15(1), 1-23.
- Jackson, B. S. (1994). Some semiotic features of a judicial summing-up in an English criminal trial: R. V. Biezanek. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, 7(20), 201-224.
- Janssens, M., Lambert, J., & Steyaert, C. (2004). Developing language strategies for international companies: The contribution of translation studies. *Journal of World Business*, 39(4), 414-430.
- Jedlowski, P. (2001). Memory and sociology: Themes and issues. *Time & Society*, 10(1), 29-44.
- Johnson-Hanks, J. (2003). Education, ethnicity, and reproductive practice in Cameroon. *Population*, 58(2), 171-200.
- Johnston, J. (2002). A future for autonomous agents: Machinic Merkwelten and artificial evolution. *Configurations*, 10(3), 473-516.
- Jones, S. (2005). Fizz in the field: Toward a basis for an emergent Internet studies. *Information Society*, 21(4), 233-237.
- Julesrosette, B. (1994). The future of African theologies: Situating new religious movements in an epistemological setting. *Social Compass*, *41*(1), 49-65.
- Junker, K. W. (2002). Dediction [sic]. Futures, 34(9), 895-905.
 Kaha, C. W. (2000). From radio to television: Space, sound, and motion. Studies in Symbolic Interaction, 23, 113-124.
- Kalantzis, M. (2006). Elements of a science of education. *Australian Educational Researcher*, 33(2), 15-42.

- Kallinikos, J. (1995). The architecture of the invisible: Technology is representation. *Organization*, 2(1), 117-140.
- Kallinikos, J. (1998). Organized complexity: Posthumanist remarks on the technologizing of intelligence. *Organization*, *5*(3), 371-396.
- Kane, J. (2004). Poetry as right-hemispheric language. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 11(5), 21-59.
- Kang, J. Y. (2006). Producing culturally appropriate narratives in English as a foreign language: A discourse analysis of Korean EFL learners' written narratives. Narrative Inquiry, 16(2), 379-407.
- Katsh, M. E. (1995). Rights, camera, action: Cyberspatial settings and the 1st-amendment. *Yale Law Journal*, 104(7), 1681-1717.
- Kaufer, D., & Scarley, K. (1994). Some concepts and axioms about communication: Proximate and at a distance. *Written Communication*, 11(1), 8-42.
- Kendall, G., & Michael, M. (1998). Thinking the unthought: Towards a moebius strip psychology. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 16(3), 141-157.
- Kennedy, D. (1998). Empathic childrearing and the adult construction of childhood: A psychohistorical look. *Childhood: A Global Journal of Child Research*, *5*(1), 9-22.
- Kennedy, D. (2000). The roots of child study: Philosophy, history, and religion. *Teachers College Record*, 102(3), 514-538.
- Kennedy, J. C. (1997). At the crossroads: Newfoundland and Labrador communities in a changing international context. *Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology*, 34(3), 297-317.
- Kern, M. (1997). Social capital and citizen interpretation of political ads, news, and web site information in the 1996 presidential election. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(8), 1238-1249.
- Kern, R. & Schultz, J. M. (2005). Beyond orality: Investigating literacy and the literary in second and foreign language instruction. *Modern Language Journal*, 89(3), 381-392.
- Kerr, S. T. (2005). Why we all want it to work: Towards a culturally based model for technology and educational change. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *36*(6), 1005-1016.
- Khare, R. S. (1993). The seen and the unseen: Hindu distinctions, experiences and cultural reasoning. *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 27(2), 191-212.
- King, U. (2005). Fortieth anniversary symposium: Science, religion, and secularity in a technological society, the journey beyond Athens and Jerusalem. *Zygon*, 40(3), 535-544.
- Kirby, V. (2003). Enumerating language: The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics. *Configurations*, 11(3), 417-439.

- Kircz, J. G. (1998). Modularity: The next form of scientific information presentation? *Journal of Documentation*, 54(2), 210-235.
- Kist, W. (2000). Beginning to create the new literacy classroom: What does the new literacy look like? *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 43(8), 710-718.
- Kleifgen, J. A. (2005). ISO 9002 as literacy practice: Coping with quality-control documents in a high-tech company. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 40(4), 450-468.
- Klein, P. D. (1999). Learning science through writing: The role of rhetorical structures. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 45(2), 132-153.
- Klein, P. D. (2000). Elementary students' strategies for writing-to-learn in science. *Cognition and Instruction*, 18(3), 317-348.
- Klein, P. D. (1999). Reopening inquiry into cognitive processes in writing-to-learn. *Educational Psychology Review*, 11(3), 203-270.
- Klima, A. (2001). The telegraphic abject: Buddhist meditation and the redemption of mechanical reproduction. *Comparative Studies in Society and History, 43*(3), 552-582.
- Knievel, M. (2006). Technology artifacts, instrumentalism, and the humanist manifestos: Toward an integrated humanistic profile for technical communication. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 20(1), 65-86.
- Kotthoff, H. (1995). The social semiotics of Georgian toast performances: Oral genre as cultural activity. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 24(4), 353-380.
- Kryk-Kastovsky, B. (2006). Impoliteness in early modern English courtroom discourse. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 7(2), 213-243.
- Kumar, K. (1993). Market-economy and mass literacy: Revisiting Innis economics of communication. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 28(50), 2727-2734.
- Law, J. A., & Krich, M. (1994). On customers and costs: A story from public-sector science. *Science in Context*, 7(3), 539-561.
- Leander, K. M., Lovvorn, J. F. (2006). Literacy networks: Following the circulation of texts, bodies, and objects in the schooling and online gaming of one youth. *Cognition and Instruction*, 24(3), 291-340.
- Lennon, B. (2000). Screening a digital visual poetics. *Configurations*, 8(1), 63-85.
- Lindstrom, L. (2006). Afterward 1: New relations of substance. *Oceania*, 76(3), 258-261.
- Linne, A. (2001). The lesson as a pedagogic text: A case study of lesson designs. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 33(2), 129-156.
- Locke, J. L., & Bogin, B. (2006). Life history and language: Selection in development. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 29(3), 301-325.

- Lord, G. (2003). Computer-mediated communication: Human-to-human communication across the internet. *Language Learning & Technology*, 7(3), 30-33).
- Luke, A. (1994). On reading and the sexual division of literacy. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 26(40), 361-381.
- Macdougall, R. (2005). Identity, electronic ethos and blogs: A technologic analysis of symbolic exchange on the new news medium. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(4), 575-599.
- Mackenzie, C. (2002). The use of orality in the short stories of A. C. Jordan, Mtutuzeli Matshoba, Njabulo Ndebele, and Bessie Head. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 28(2), 347-358.
- Mahiri, J. & Godley, A. J. (1998). Rewriting identity: Social meanings of literacy and "re-visions" of self. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 33(4), 416-433.
- Marchessou, F. (2001). Some ethical concerns in ed-tech consultancies across borders. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48(4), 110-114.
- Martin, K. J. (2000). Oh, I have a story: Narrative as a teacher's classroom model. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 16(3), 349-363.
- Marvin, C. (1984). Constructed and reconstructed discourse: Inscription and talk in the history of literacy. *Communication Research*, 11(4), 563-594.
- Matsuda, P. K., Canagarajah, A. S., Harklau, L., Hyland, K., & Warschauer, M. (2003). Changing currents in second language writing research: A colloquium. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12(2), 151-179.
- Matusov, E., & St. Julien, J. (2004). Print literacy as oppression: Cases of bureaucratic, colonial, and totalitarian literacies and their implications for schooling. *Text*, 24(2), 197-244.
- McGarry, K. (1995). From writing to computers: J. Warner. *Education for Information*, 13(1), 76-79.
- McHoul, A. (1994). Towards a critical ethnomethodology. *Theory Culture & Society, 11*(4), 105-126.
- McIver, R. K., & Carroll, M. (2004). Distinguishing characteristics of orally transmitted material when compared to material transmitted by literary means. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 18(9), 1251-1269.
- McKie, A., & Gass, J. P. (2001). Understanding mental health through reading selected literature sources: An evaluation. *Nurse Education Today*, *21*(3), 201-208.
- McLaren, A. (1996). Women's voices and textuality: Chastity and abduction in Chinese nushu writing. *Modern China*, 22(4), 382-416.
- McLean, C., & Hoskin, K. (1998). Organizing madness: Reflections on the forms of the form. *Organization*, *5*(4), 519-541.
- McMurdo, G. (1995). Changing contexts of communication. *Journal of Information Science*, 21(2), 140-146.
- McMurdo, G., & Meadows, A. J. (1996). Acceptance and use of computer-mediated communication by information students. *Journal of Information Science*, 22(5), 335-348.

- McNeil, L. D. (1996). Homo inventans: The evolution of narrativity. *Language & Communication*, 16(4), 331-360.
- McPhee, R. D. (2004). Text, agency, and organization in the light of structuration theory. *Organization*, 11(3), 355-371.
- Melrose, R. (2006). Walking and talking: Traces of the body in the grammar and lexis of spontaneous spoken English. *Semiotica*, *162*(1-4), 341-369.
- Meredith, D. (2001). Migration and Adaptation of Popular Balladry in the US Appalachian Region. *Scottish Geographical Journal*, 117(3), 169-183.
- Meyer, H. W. J. (2005). The nature of information, and the effective use of information in rural development. *Information Research*, 10(2).
- Meyer, H. W. J., & Boon, J. A. (2003). Provision of agricultural information for development: A case study on crossing communication boundaries. *Libri*, *53*(3), 174-184.
- Meyer, L. (1994). Nothing we say matters: Teague and new rules. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 61(2), 423-492.
- Mickey, T. J. (1998). Selling the Internet: A cultural studies approach to public relations. *Public Relations Review*, 24(3), 335-349.
- Middleton, J. I. (1995). Confronting the master-narrative: The privilege of orality in Toni Morrison's The Bluest Eye. *Cultural Studies*, *9*(2), 301-317.
- Miller, R., Bradbury, J., & Pedley, K. (1998). Academic performance of first and second language students: Disadvantage and under-preparedness. *South African Journal of Science*, *94*(3), 103-107.
- Miller, G. P. (1996). The song of Deborah: A legal-economic analysis. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 144(5), 2293-2320.
- Miller, C. R. (1998). Learning front history: World War II and the culture of high technology. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, *12*(3), 288-315.
- Mitchell, D. B. (1994). Distinctions between everyday and representational communication. *Communication Theory*, 4(2), 111-131.
- Mohamed, A. H., & Omer, M. R. (1999). Syntax as a mark of rhetorical organization in written texts: Arabic and English. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, *37*(4), 291-305.
- Moreland, J. (2006). Archaeology and texts: Subservience or enlightenment. *Annual Review of Anthropology, 35*(1), 135-151.
- Morris, R. J. (1995). Native land and foreign desires, Peheala-e-pono-ai - Kameeleihiwa, L. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 29(1), 124-135.
- Muniz, A. M., & O'Guinn, T. C. (2001). Brand community. Journal of Consumer Research, 27(4), 412-432.
- Murray, D. E. (2000). Protean communication: The language of computer-mediated communication. *Tesol Quarterly*, 34(3), 397-421.

- Nam, S. Y. (2001). Recounting "history": Documentary as women's cinema. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 7(1), 80-110.
- Narayan, B. (2004). Inventing caste history: Dalit mobilisation and nationalist past. *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, 38(1-2), 193-220.
- Newman, A. (1996). The oral and written interface: Some talmudic evidence. *Language & Communication*, 16(2), 153-164.
- Newman, M. (2005). Rap as literacy: A genre analysis of hip-hop ciphers. *Text*, 25(3), 399-436.
- Norderhaug, T. & Oberding, J. M. (1995). Designing a web of intellectual property. *Computer Networks and ISDN* Systems, 27(6), 1037-1046.
- Nozawa, S. (2007). The meaning of life: Regimes of textuality and memory in Japanese personal historiography. *Language & Communication*, 27(2), 153-177.
- Nystrand, M. (2006). Rendering messages according to the affordances of language in communities of practice. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 41(2), 160-164.
- O'Connell, D. C., & Kowal, S. (1998). Orality and literacy in public discourse: An interview of Hannah Arendt. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30(5), 543-564.
- O'Connell, D.C., & Kowal, S. (2003). Psycholinguistics: A half century of monologism. *American Journal of Psychology*, 116(2), 191-212.
- O'Connell, D. C., Kowal, S., & Dill, E. J. (2004). Dialogicality in TV news interviews. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(2), 185-205.
- O'Hanlon, M. (1995). Modernity and the graphicalization of meaning: New-Guinea highland shield design in historical perspective. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, 1(3), 469-493.
- O'Hara, K., Morris, R., Shadbolt, N., Hitch, G. J., Hall, W., & Beagrie, N. (2006). Memories for life: A review of the science and technology. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 3(8), 351-365.
- Oelschlaeger, M. (1997). Geography in a time of cultural crisis: Helping philosophy find its place. *Ecumene*, *4*(4), 373-388.
- Oldfather, C. M. (2004). Appellate courts, historical facts, and the civil-criminal distinction. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, *57*(2), 437-512.
- Olesen, F., & Markussen, R. (2003). Reconfigured medication: Writing medicine in a sociotechnical practice. *Configurations*, 11(3), 351-381.
- Olesen, F., & Markussen, R (2004). From pen to computer: Writing medicine as a sociotechnical practice. *Sciences Sociales et Sante*, 22(1), 69-94.
- Osiel, M. (1995). Ever again: Legal remembrance of administrative massacre. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 144(2), 463-704.
- Packer, M, J. (2000). Sociocultural and constructivist theories of learning: Ontology, not just epistemology. *Educational Psychologist*, *35*(4), 227-241.

- Palmieri, P. (2005). Galileo's construction of idealized fall in the void. *History of Science*, 43(142), 343-389.
- Panford, S., Nyaney, M. O., Amoah, S. O., & Aidoo, N. G. (2001). Using folk media in HIV/AIDS prevention in rural Ghana. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91(10), 1559-1562.
- Panopoulos, P. (2003). Animal bells as symbols: Sound and hearing in a Greek island village. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, *9*(4), 639-656.
- Pantaloni, N. A. M. (1994). Legal databases, legal epistemology, and the legal order. *Law Library Journal*, 86(4), 679-706.
- Papadopoulos, J. K. (1994). Early iron-age potter's marks in the Aegean. *Hesperia*, 63(4), 437-507.
- Parisse, C. (2002). Oral language, written language and language awareness. *Journal of Child Language*, 29(2), 478-481.
- Parisse, C., & Cohen, H. (2003). Oral and visual language are not processed in like fashion: Constraints on the products of the SOC. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 25(3), 349-350.
- Parry, K. (1996). Culture, literacy, and L2 reading. *Tesol Quarterly*, 30(4), 665-692.
- Patel, P. G. (1996). Linguistic and cognitive aspects of the orality-literacy complex in ancient India. *Language & Communication*, 16(4), 315-329.
- Paul, P. V. (2006). New literacies, multiple literacies, unlimited literacies: What now, what next, where to? A response to blue Listerine, parochialism and ASL literacy. *Journal of Deaf Sstudies and Deaf Education*, 11(3), 382-387.
- Paul, P. V., & Wang, Y. (2006). Literate thought and multiple literacies. *Theory into Practice*, 45(4), 304-310.
- Pawley, C. (1998). What to read and how to read: The social infrastructure of young people's reading, Osage, Iowa, 1870 to 1990. *Library Quarterly*, 68(3), 276-297.
- Pennycook, A. (1996). Borrowing others' words: Text, ownership, memory, and plagiarism. *Tesol Quarterly*, 30(2), 201-230.
- Peters, J. D. (1994). The gaps of which communication is made. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 11(2), 117-140.
- Petit, A., & Soto, E. (2002). Already experts: Showing students how much they know about writing and reading arguments. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 45(8), 674-682.
- Petraglia, J. (1998). The real world on a short leash: The (mis)application of constructivism to the design of educational technology. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 46(3), 53-65.
- Phillips, B. J. & McQuarrie, E. F. (2002). The development, change, and transformation of rhetorical style in magazine advertisements 1954-1999. *Journal of Advertising*, 31(4), 1-13.

- Phillips, B. J. (1997). Thinking into it: Consumer interpretation of complex advertising images. *Journal of Advertising*, 26(2), 77-87.
- Poulakis, T. (1990). [Review of book Orality and Literacy in Hellenic Greece.] *Argumentation & Advocacy, 26*(4), 173-175.
- Prinsloo, M. (2005). The new literacies as placed resources. *Perspectives in Education*, 23(4), 87-98.
- Puchner, L. (2003). Women and literacy in rural Mali: A study of the socioeconomic impact of participating in literacy programs in four villages. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 23(4), 439-458.
- Purcell-Gates, V. (2006). Written language and literacy development: The proof. *In the Practice*, 41(2), 164-169.
- Qvortrup, L. (2006). Understanding new digital media: Medium theory or complexity theory? *European Journal of Communication*, 21(3), 345-356.
- Rassool, N. (1995). Theorizing literacy, politics, and social-process: Revisiting Maktab literacy in Iran in search of a critical paradigm. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 15(4), 423-436.
- Raven, D. (1996). The enculturation of logical practice. *Configurations*, 4(3), 381-425.
- Reeder, K., Madfadyen, L. P., Roche, J., & Chase, M. (2004). Negotiating cultures in cyberspace: Participation patterns and problematics. *Language Learning & Technology*, 8(2), 88-105.
- Rekdal, O. B. (1998). When hypothesis becomes myth: The Iraqi origin of the Iraqw. *Ethnology*, *37*(1), 17-38.
- Rice, T. (2005). The auditory culture reader. *Critique of Anthropology*, 25(2), 199-206.
- Richards, C. (1999). CMC and the connection between virtual utopias and actual realities. *Javnost-The Public*, 6(4), 11-21.
- Rickly, R. (2001). Electric rhetoric: Classical rhetoric, oralism, and a new literacy. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 15(1), 119-121.
- Ridley, D. R., Redick, K. H., Dominguez, P. S., Romo, D. K., & Walker, C. B. (2000). The utilitarian core hypothesis: Cases for testing the stability of languages in the wake of conquest. *Psychological Reports*, 87(2), 559-578.
- Roberts, P. (1995). Literacy studies: A review of the literature, with signposts for future research. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, 30(20), 189-214.
- Robinson-Pant, A. (2000). Women and literacy: A Nepal perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 20(4), 349-364.
- Rodgers, S. (2002). Compromise and contestation in colonial Sumatra: An 1873 mandailing schoolbook on the wonders of the west. *Bijdragen Tot De Taal- Land- En Volkenkunde*, 158(3), 479-512.
- Romero-Little, M. E. (2006). Honoring our own: Rethinking indigenous languages and literacy. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, *37*(4), 399-402.

- Ross, R. J. (1998). The commoning of the common law: The renaissance debate over printing English law, 1520-1640. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 146*(2), 323-461.
- Ross, R. J. (2002). Communications revolutions and legal culture: An elusive relationship. *Journal of the American Bar Foundation*, 27(3), 637-684.
- Rothman, D. L. (1998). Preparing to teach writing. *American Journal of Psychology*, 111(1), 156-164.
- Ruhleder, K. (1994). Rich and lean representations of information for knowledge work: The role of computing packages in the work of classical scholars. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 12(2), 208-230.
- Ruhleder, K. (1995). Reconstructing artifacts, reconstructing work: From textual edition to online data-bank. *Science Technology & Human Values*, 20(1), 39-64.
- Rumsey, A. (1994). The dreaming, human agency and inscriptive practice. *Oceania*, 65(2), 116-130.
- Rusted, B. (1999). Socializing aesthetics and selling like gangbusters. *Organization Studies*, 20(4), 641-658.
- Rutherford, D. (2000). The white edge of the margin: Textuality and authority in Biak, Irian Jaya, Indonesia. *American Ethnologist*, 27(2), 312-339.
- Ryang, S. (1996). Do words stand for faith? Linguistic life of North Korean children in Japan. *Critique of Anthropology*, 16(3), 281-301.
- Ryang, S. (1998). Love and colonialism in Takamure Itsue's feminism: A postcolonial critique. *Feminist Review*, 60, 1-32.
- Samuels, D. (2001). Artistry in Native American myths. *Discourse & Society, 12*(2), 249-250.
- Sapienza, F. (2001). Nurturing translocal communication: Russian immigrants on the world wide web. *Technical Communication*, 48(4), 435-448.
- Sarangapani, P. M. (2003). Indigenising curriculum: Questions posed by Baiga Vidya. *Comparative Education*, 39(2), 199-209.
- Sayigh, R. (1998). Palestinian camp women as tellers of history. *Journal of Palestine Studies*, 27(2), 42-58.
- Schoultz, J., Saljo, R., & Wyndhamn, J. (2001). Conceptual knowledge in talk and text: What does it take to understand a science question? *Instructional Science*, 29(3), 213-236.
- Sharratt, P. A., & Vandenheuvel, E. (1995). Metamemorial knowledge in a group of black South-African school-children. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 25(2), 59-73.
- Shekary, M., & Tahririan, M. H. (2006). Negotiation of meaning and noticing in text-based online chat. *Modern Language Journal*, 90(4), 557-573.
- Sherwin, R. K. (1994). Law frames: Historical truth and narrative necessity in a criminal case. *Stanford Law Review*, 47(1), 39-83.

- Skott, C. (2001). Caring narratives and the strategy of presence: Narrative communication in nursing practice and research. *Nursing Science Quarterly*, *14*(3), 249-254.
- Slaney, F. M. (1997). Raramuri personhood and ethnicity: Another perspective response. *American Ethnologist*, 24(2), 306-310.
- Sloan, B. (1999). These keys . . . written personal narrative as family lore and folk object. *Library Trends*, 47(3), 395-413.
- Slunecko, T., & Hengl, S. (2006). Culture and media: A dynamic constitution. *Culture & Psychology*, 12(1), 69-85.
- Smith, J. H. (1998). Njama's supper: The consumption and use of literary potency by Mau Mau insurgents in colonial Kenya. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 40(3), 524-548.
- Smith, M. C. (1995). Reading practices, reading skills, and cognitive growth in adulthood. *Journal of Adult Development*, 2(4), 241-256.
- Smith, M. M. (2003). Making sense of social history. Journal of Social History, 37(1), 165-186.
- Socolovsky, M. (1998). Moving beyond the mint green walls: An examination of (auto)biography and border in Ruth Behar's translated woman. *Frontiers*, 19(3), 72-97.
- Solarsh, B. & Alant, E. (2006). The challenge of cross-cultural assessment: The test of ability to explain for Zulu- speaking children. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 39(2), 109-138.
- Soukup, P. A. (1997). [Review of the book Philosophical perspectives on computer-mediated communication]. *Journal of Communication*, 47(2), 169-171.
- Srinivasan, R. (2006). Where information society and community voice intersect. *Information Society*, 22(5), 355-365.
- Stalder, F. (2002). The failure of privacy enhancing technologies (PETs) and the voiding of privacy. *Sociological Research Online*, 7(2).
- Stanovich, K. E. (1993). Does reading make you smarter: Literacy and the development of verbal intelligence. *Advances in Child Development and Behavior, 24*, 133-180.
- Stanovich, K. E., West, R. F., & Harrison, M. R. (1995). Knowledge growth and maintenance across the lifespan: The role of print exposure. *Developmental Psychology*, 31(5), 811-826.
- Stark, L. M. (1994). Representation of the written and oral in Finnish Kalevala meter poetry. *Language & Communication*, 14(2), 155-166.
- Starrett, G. (1995). The political-economy of religious commodities in Cairo. *American Anthropologist*, *97*(1), 51-68.
- Starrett, G. (1996). The margins of print: Children's religious literature in Egypt. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 2(1), 117-139.
- Steedman, C. (1998). The space of memory: In an archive. *History of the Human Sciences, 11*(4), 65-83.

- Stephens, K. (2000). A critical discussion of the 'new literacy studies.' *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 48(1), 10-23.
- Stepnisky, J. (2005). Global memory and the rhythm of life. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 48(10), 1383-1402.
- Stern, B. B. (1994). A revised communication model for advertising: Multiple dimensions of the source, the message, and the recipient. *Journal of Advertising*, 23(2), 5-15.
- Sterne, J. (2001). A machine to hear for them: On the very possibility of sound's reproduction. *Cultural Studies*, 15(2), 259-294.
- Stivers, C. (1994). The listening bureaucrat: Responsiveness in public-administration. *Public Administration Review*, 54(4), 364-369.
- Stolow, J. (2005). Religion and/as media. *Theory Culture & Society*, 22(4), 119-145.
- Stucky, N. (1995). Performing oral-history: Storytelling and pedagogy. *Communication Education*, 44(1), 1-14.
- Styhre, A., Josephson, P. E., & Knauseder, I. (2006). Organization learning in non-writing communities: The case of construction workers. *Management Learning*, *37*(1), 83-100.
- Sudweeks, F., & Ess, C. (1999). Computer-mediated culture: Introduction. *Javnost the Public*, 6(4), 5-10.
- Sui, D. Z. (2000). Visuality, aurality, and shifting metaphors of geographical thought in the late twentieth century. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 90(2), 322-343.
- Sui, D. Z., & Bednarz, R. S. (1999). The message is the medium: Geographic education in the age of the Internet. *Journal of Geography*, 98(3), 93-99.
- Sui, D. Z., & Goodchild, M. F. (2003). A tetradic analysis of GIS and society using McLuhan's law of the media. *Canadian Geographer*, 47(1), 5-17.
- Sumara, D. J. (1998). Fictionalizing acts: Reading and the making of identity. *Theory into Practice*, *37*(3), 203-210.
- Swidler, A., & Arditi, J. (1994). The new sociology of knowledge. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 20, 305-329.
- Thorellekstrand, I., & Bjorvell, H. (1995). Nursing-students experience of care planning activities in clinical education. *Nurse Education Today*, *15*(3), 196-203.
- Toynbee, J. (2006). Copyright, the work and phonographic orality in music. *Social & Legal Studies*, 15(1), 77-99.
- Turnbull, W., & Carpendale, J. I. M. (1999). A social pragmatic model of talk: Implications for research on the development of children's social understanding. *Human Development*, 42(6), 328-355.
- Upchurch, C. M., & O'Connell, D. C. (2000). Typical Clinton: Brazen it out. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 29(4), 423-431.
- Van Den Branden, J., & Lambert, J. (1999). Cultural issues related to transnational open and distance learning in universities: A European problem? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 30(3), 251-260.

- Vanderveer, R. (1994). The concept of development and the development of concepts: Education and development in Vygotskys thinking. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, *9*(4), 293-300.
- Vermeerbergen, M. (2006). Past and current trends in sign language research. *Language & Communication*, 26(2), 168-192.
- Vollmer, H. (2007). How to do more with numbers: Elementary stakes, framing, keying, and the three-dimensional character of numerical signs. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 32(6), 577-600.
- Walsham, A (2005). Revising the past. *History Workshop Journal*, 59, 246-251.
- Warner, J. (2007). Selection power and selection labor for information retrieval. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(7), 915-923.
- Weeks, P. (2002). Performative error-correction in music: A problem for ethnomethodological description. *Human Studies*, 25(3), 359-385.
- Weinberg, B. D., & Davis, L. (2005). Exploring the wow in online-auction feedback. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(11), 1609-1621.
- Weinstein, G. (1997). From problem-solving to celebration: Discovering and creating meanings through literacy. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, *54*(1), 28-47.
- Weispfenning, J. (2003). Cultural functions of reruns: Time, memory, and television. *Journal of Communication*. 53(1), 165-177.
- Welch, J. R. (2007). Vagueness and inductive molding. *Synthese*, 154(1), 147-172.
- Werry, C. (2007). Reflections on language: Chomsky, linguistic discourse, and the value of rhetorical self-consciousness. *Language Sciences*, 29(1), 66-87.
- Westby, C. E. (1995). Culture and literacy: Frameworks for understanding. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 16(1), 50-66.
- Westby, C. (2004). 21st century literacy for a diverse world. *Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica*, 56(4), 254-271.
- Westby, C., & Atencio, D. J. (2002). Computers, culture, and learning. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 22(4), 70-87.
- Whissell, C. (1994). A comparison of adventure and romance novels. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 79(3), 1567-1570.
- Whiteley, P. (2003). Do "language rights" serve indigenous interests? Some Hopi and other queries. *American Anthropologist*, 105(4), 712-722.
- Wickham, C. (1998). Gossip and resistance among the medieval peasantry. *Past & Present*, 160, 3-24.
- Wildman, P. (1998). Futures praxis: Consulting and teaching futures studies through the world wide web. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 42(3), 505-513.
- Wise, J. M. (2000). Home: Territory and identity. *Cultural Studies*, 14(2), 295-310.
- Wogan, P. (1994). Perceptions of European literacy in early contact situations. *Ethnohistory*, 41(3), 407-429.

- Wray, A., & Grace, G. W. (2007). The consequences of talking to strangers: Evolutionary corollaries of socio-cultural influences on linguistic form. *Lingua*, 117(3), 543-578.
- Wright, P., Lickorish, A., Hull, A., & Ummelen, N. (1995). Graphics in written directions: Appreciated by readers but not writers. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *9*(1), 41-59
- Zaltman, G. (1997). Rethinking market research: Putting people back in. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(4), 424-437.
- Zamponi, S. F. (1998). Of storytellers and master narratives: Modernity, memory, and history in fascist Italy. *Social Science History*, *22*(4), 415-444.
- Zhang, J. I. (1997). The nature of external representations in problem solving. *Cognitive Science*, 21(2), 179-217.
- Zhao, S. (2006). Humanoid social robots as a medium of communication. *New Media & Society*, 8(3), 401-419.
- Zhao, S. (2006). The Internet and the transformation of the reality of everyday life: Toward a new analytic stance in sociology. *Sociological Inquiry*, 76(4), 458-474.

The editors of COMMUNICATION RESEARCH TRENDS thank Helene Lafrance of the Santa Clara University Library for her help in compiling this citation bibliography of *Orality and Literacy*.

Classified Bibliography of Key Themes in *Orality and Literacy*

Professor Thomas J. Farrell compiled the majority of these bibliographic items for the second edition of his *Walter Ong's Contributions to Cultural Studies: The Phenomenology of the Word and I-Thou Communication* (Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press, forthcoming). The editors of Communication Research Trends have arranged them in categories and added some titles.

Literacy

- Arlinghaus, F. J., Ostermann, M., Plessow, O., & Tscherpel, G. (Eds.). (2006). *Transforming the medieval world: Uses of pragmatic literacy in the Middle Ages*. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols.
- Bolzoni, L. (2001). The gallery of memory: Literary and iconographic models in the age of the printing press. (J. Parzen, Trans.). Toronto: University of Toronto Press. (Original work published in Italian in 1995)
- Dimmick, J., Simpson, J., & Zeeman, N. (Eds.). (2002). Images, idolatry, and iconoclasm in late medieval England: Textuality and the visual image. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Eliot, S., & Rose, J. (Eds.). (2007). A companion to the history of the book. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Eliot, S., Nash, A., & Wilson, I. (Eds.). (2007). *Literary cultures and the material book*. London: British Library.
- Fussel, S. (2003). *Gutenberg and the impact of printing* (D. Martin, Trans.). Aldershot, Hampshire, UK: Ashgate Publishing.
- Grafton, A., & Williams, M. (2006). *Christianity and the transformation of the book: Origen, Eusebius, and the library of Caesarea*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Green, D. H. (1994). *Medieval listening and reading: The primary reception of German literature 800-1300*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hageman, M., & Mostert, M. (Eds.). (2005). Reading images and texts: Medieval images and texts as forms of communication. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols.
- Harris, R. (2000). Rethinking writing. London: Continuum.
- Hay, C. (Ed.). (1988). Mathematics from manuscript to print 1300-1600. Oxford: Clarendon Press of Oxford University Press.
- Hotson, H. (2007). *Commonplace learning: Ramism and its German ramifications 1543-1630*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Howard, N. (2005). *The book: The life story of a technology*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Hudson, N. (1994). Writing and European thought, 1600-1830. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kahler, E. (1973). *The inward turn of narrative*. (R. Winston & C. Winston, Trans.). Princeton: Princeton University Press. (Original work published in German in 1970)
- Lee, D. (1959). Codifications of reality: Lineal and nonlineal. *Freedom and culture* (pp. 105-120). New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Logan, R. K. (2004). The alphabet effect: A media ecology understanding of the making of Western civilization (2nd ed.). Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Lum, C. (Ed.). (2005). Perspectives on culture, technology, and communication: The media ecology tradition. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Robb, K. (1994). *Literacy and paideia in ancient Greece*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sheridan, D., Street, B., & Bloome, D. (2000). Writing ourselves: Mass-observation and literacy practices. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Starkey, K. (2004). *Reading the medieval book: Word, image, and performance in Wolfram von Eschenbach's* Willehalm. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Street, B. V. (Ed.). (1993). Cross-cultural approaches to literacy. Cambridge, UK and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993
- Street, B. V. (2001). *Literacy and development: Ethnographic perspectives*. London and New York: Routledge.

- Street, B. V. (Ed.). (2005). *Literacies across educational contexts: Mediating learning and teaching*. Philadelphia: Caslon Publications.
- Tebeaux, E. (1997). The emergence of a tradition: Technical writing in the English Renaissance, 1475-1640. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing.
- Wagner, D. A., Venezky, R. L., & Street, B. V. (Eds.). (1999). *Literacy: An international handbook*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Wyrick, J. (2004). The ascension of authorship: Attribution and canon formation in Jewish, Hellenistic, and Christian traditions. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Memory

- Carruthers, M. (1990). *The book of memory: A study of memory in medieval culture*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Carruthers, M. (1998). The craft of thought: Meditation, rhetoric, and the making of images, 400-1200. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Carruthers, M., & Ziolkowski, J. (Eds.). (2002). *The medieval craft of memory: An anthology of texts and pictures*. Philadelphia: Pennsylvania University Press.
- Yates, F. A. (1966). *The art of memory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Orality

- Bakker, E. J. (2005). *Pointing at the past: From formula to performance in Homeric poetics*. Washington, DC: Center for Hellenic Studies (distributed by Harvard University Press).
- Beck, D. (2005). *Homeric conversation*. Washington, DC: Center for Hellenic Studies (distributed by Harvard University Press).
- Edwards, M. W. (2002). Sound, sense, and rhythm: Listening to Greek and Latin poetry. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Foley, J. M. (2002). *How to read an oral poem*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Folkerth, W. (2002). *The sound of Shakespeare*. London: Routledge.
- Furniss, G. (2004). *Orality: The power of the spoken word.* London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Horsley, R. A., Draper, J. A., & Foley, J. M. (Eds.). (2006). *Performing the gospel: Orality, memory, and Mark*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press.
- Hurm, G. (2003). Rewriting the vernacular Mark Twain: The aesthetics and politics of orality in Samuel Clemens's fictions. Trier, Germany: Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier.
- Jardine, M. (2004). Sight, sound, and participatory symbolization: Eric Voegelin's political theory as an attempt to recapture the speech-dimension of human experience. In P. A. Petrakis & C. L. Eubanks (Eds.), *Eric Voegelin's dialogue with the postmodernists*:

- Searching for foundations (pp. 57-92). Columbia: University of Missouri Press.
- Loubser, J. A. (2004). Moving beyond colonialist discourse: Understanding oral theory and cultural difference in the context of media analysis. In J. A. Draper, ed., *Orality, literacy, and colonialism in antiquity* (pp. 65-82). Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.
- Mackay, E. A. (Ed.). (1999). Signs of orality: The oral tradition and its influence in the Greek and Roman world. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Morris, I., & Powell, B. (1997). *A new companion to Homer*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Robey, D. (2000). *Sound and structure in the* Divine Comedy. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Swigg, R. (2002). *Look with the ears: Charles Tomlinson's poetry of sound.* Frankfurt am Main, Germany: Peter Lang.
- Violi, P. (Ed.). (2000). *Phonocentrism and poetic language*. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols.

Orality and Literacy

- Amodio, M. C. (2004). Writing the oral tradition: Oral poetics and literate culture in medieval England. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Blaeser, K. M. (1996). *Gerald Vizenor: Writing in the oral tradition*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Carr, D. M. (2005). Writing on the tablet of the heart: Origins of scripture and literature. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Draper, J. A. (Ed.). (2004a). *Orality, literacy, and colonialism in antiquity*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Draper, J. A. (Ed.). (2004b). *Orality, literacy, and colonialism in Southern Africa*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Erzgraber, W. (2002). James Joyce: Oral and written discourse as mirrored in experimental narrative art. (A. Cole, Trans.). Frankfurt am Main, Germany: Peter Lang. (Original work published in German in 1998)
- Fox, A. (2000). *Oral and literate culture in England 1500-1700*. Oxford: Clarendon Press of Oxford University Press.
- Goetsch, P. (2003). *The oral and the written in 19th-century British fiction*. Frankfurt am Main, Germany: Peter Lang.
- Havelock, E. A. (1963). *Preface to Plato*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Havelock, E. A. (1978). The Greek concept of justice: From its shadow in Homer to its substance in Plato. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Havelock, E. A. (1982). The literate revolution in Greece and its cultural consequences. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Jahandarie, K. (1999). Spoken and written discourse: A multi-disciplinary perspective. Stamford, CT: Ablex Publishing.
- Mostert, M. (Ed.). (2008, forthcoming). *New approaches to medieval communication* (2nd ed.). Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols. Excellent bibliography.

- Patel, P. G. (1996). Linguistic and cognitive aspects of the orality-literacy complex in ancient India. *Language & Communication*, 16(4), 315-329.
- Picker, J. M. (2003). *Victorian soundscapes*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Strate, L. (2006). *Echoes and reflections: On media ecology as a field of study*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Tadie, A. (2003). Sterne's whimsical theatres of language: Orality, gesture, literacy. Aldershot, UK: Ashgate.
- Walker, J. (2000). *Rhetoric and poetics in antiquity*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Wesling, D., & Slawek, T. (1995). *Literary voice: The calling of Jonah*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Print

- Baron, S. A., Lindquist, E. N., & Shevlin, E. F. (Eds.). (2007). Agent of change: Print culture studies after Elizabeth L. Eisenstein. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Finkelstein, D., & McCleery, A. (Eds.). (2006). *The book history reader* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Fussel, S. (2003). *Gutenberg and the impact of printing*. (D. Martin, Trans.). Aldershot, UK: Ashgate. (Original work published in German in 1999)
- Johns, A. (1998). *The nature of the book: Print and knowledge in the making*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Man, J. (2002). Gutenberg: How one man remade the world with words. New York: Wiley.

Writing

- DeFrancis, J. (1989). Visible speech: The diverse oneness of writing systems. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Douglas, M. (2007). *Thinking in circles: An essay on ring composition*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Finkelstein, D., & McCleery, A. (2005). *An introduction to book history*. New York: Routledge.
- Jean, G. (1992). Writing: The story of alphabets and scripts. (J. Oates, Trans.). New York: Henry N. Abrams. (Original work published in French in 1987)
- Man, J. (2000). Alpha beta: How 26 letters shaped the Western world. New York: Wiley.
- Sacks, D. (2003). Language visible: Unraveling the mystery of the alphabet from A to Z. New York: Broadway Books/Random House.

Book Reviews

Featured Review

Re-defining activism, re-constructing change

Frey, Lawrence R. and Kevin M. Carragee (Eds.) Communication Activism: Communication for Social Change, 2 volumes. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press, 2007. Vol. 1: Pp. 440. ISBN 1-57273-697-6 (pb.).

\$39.95; Vol. 2: Pp. 376. ISBN 1-57273-699-2 (pb.). \$36.50.

This two-volume set gathers an astounding collection of redefined communication activism scholarship; the assemblage is almost too large for one review, indicating on the one hand, how applied communication research has expanded its purview to include a diverse array of activist-scholars and scholarly activists dedicated to using communication theories and research for the greater social good. On the other hand, the collection shows that the conversation about what constitutes the social good, or even social change, has not been exhausted. Indeed, a few of the contributions even infer that substantive social change is unnecessary. In short, this complex of essays invites multiple responses, which is undoubtedly one of its intents.

Applied communication research and communication activism is not new. For centuries, the rhetorical tradition has been marked by its dedication to application—its singular concern with crafting messages for persuasive appeals to meet clear objectives. Although early on this tradition espoused a clear socio-cultural ethic (as in Isocrates's concern for the Greek polity and identity), in recent years, applied communication has been dominated by advertising and public relations both of which readily accept the unproblematic assumption of the possible righteousness of the corporate system and its communication goals. Only one applied communication strand has unleashed resistance within (and from outside) the discipline—applied communication for social justice. Communication has thus also always been an activist discipline, dedicated to analysis, critique, and preparation of messages for public speaking, interpersonal relations, small group and organizational communication, and political, religious, and health communication. Only one impulse towards communication activism has not been granted full status in the academic panoply of options for our majors: communication activism for social change. Defenders of the status quo (e.g., Kuypers, 2000; Fish, 2004) are quite content with professors who actively proselytize accepted truths regarding organizational crisis management, elite civil discourse, interpersonal dialogue, or corporate advertising and public relations; they become quite agitated, however, if anyone suggests that research-based theories and experimental studies have all but verified that symbols, representations, media images and practices, and social communication reflect and re-create preferred meanings, values, relations of power, and intimations of social norms and accepted cultural practices. These different perspectives reside in the same house, but they will not and indeed cannot come to any real accord beyond tolerance; the trajectories of these two disparate approaches to communication scholarship will be played out in their application, because "our disciplinary practice is *in* the world" (Conquergood, 1995, p. 85). With this two-volume collection, Frey and Carragee have selected instances of communication activism in this world that challenge (more or less) the world as it is.

In an attempt to recognize the many sites for communication activism, Frey and Carragee include consulting, advising, teaching, writing, and training (long considered the purview of traditional applied communication work), along with social movement activism as examples of how communication scholars might intervene. Crabtree and Ford recognize the activist scholar as a member of and a participant in a community (Vol. 1, p. 268), and Hartnett, Palmer, and McHale, in particular, embody such symbiotic relationships between scholar and movement. Of course, many of the contributors are not activists per se, serving instead as consultants or advisors to under-resourced groups or communities. Some of the contributions could not rise to the level of activism, without this broad redefinition—Hartnett's essay on his antiwar work, Palmer's piece on antiglobalization activity, and McHale's work and documentary on capital punishment being a few notable exceptions. To invite under the flaps of the growing communication activist tent, these books, and, in particular, the second volume on "Media and Performance Activism," foreground the communication in communication activism, including communication that might be better termed "pre-activism." For instance, Crabtree and Ford's consulting work with a sexual assault crisis center proffers that "telling stories and speaking out" prepare the social ground for resistance and transformation (citing Russo, 2002, in Vol. 1, p. 280). In their work with participatory theater in rural India, Harter, Sharma, Pant, Singhal, and Sharma also champion the value of stories. Although Harter et al. "do not claim that the 1-week participatory theater workshops and performances have corrected these social ills," they argue that the performances are "rehearsals for securing social and political change" (Vol. 2, p. 309). Likewise, essays on promoting high school debate in urban schools (Shields & Preston), promoting civic engagement in blood drives, tutoring, building projects, and electoral reform (Adams, Berquist, Dillon, & Galanes) through "campaigns of talk" (Vol. 1, p. 128), teaching journalism to women prisoners (Novak & Sanford), high school journalists (Christian), and lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) activists (Cagle), and several others focus on teaching/consulting for improved dialogue or media writing. Here, activism appears as communication among those willing to talk. In most cases, the authors recognize that their work stopped short of activism. For instance, after years of consulting work with a truth and reconciliation project on race and class in North Carolina, Jovanovic, Steger, Symonds, and Nelson did not attend the 25th anniversary march culminating the process to that date (Vol. 1, p. 98). Communication activism thus configured actually previews another activism that will be informed and empowered by whatever vital improvements to communication practices and processes enabled by scholars/teachers/consultants. A discerning reader might ask, however: To what extent does the communication example contribute to the structural transformation of inequality?

In their totality, these essays inspirationally describe the work of committed scholar-activists in a variety of settings dedicated to a range of social issues. The essays also collectively articulate the activist limits of communication consulting, advising, teaching, writing, and training, or perhaps the limits of the researcher in contributing to activist campaigns. Research and dialogue are indeed empowering acts, often "uniting people working for social change, disrupting restrictive ways of thinking, and transforming the social world" (Varallo, 1998, cited by Frey & Carragee, p. 9), yet activism ultimately must confront (and subvert or replace) existing power to enact social change. Historical and theoretical scholarship in communication studies should contribute to verifying or discounting that claim and applying findings to actions intended to and capable of realizing actual social transformation. Much more is at stake than practicing communication activism, because (as I argued as a contributor to the 1996 work by Frey, Pearce, Pollock, Artz, & Murphy, 1996):

It is not enough to demonstrate or bemoan the fact that some people lack the minimal necessities of life. . . . [We have] a moral imperative to *act* as effectively as we can to do something about structurally sustained inequalities. . . . Our actions must engage and transform social structures. (p. 111)

It is not simply that communication scholars "shied away from important societal issues" (Frey & Carragee, p. 3) or that they have not engaged in communication activism; rather, too often scholars have actually addressed important societal issues but only from within the accepted discourse and the normative limits of change within capitalist society. These two volumes may encourage others to recognize and push the limits, but the essays assembled here have largely not yet gone beyond the limits of civility and acceptability that reproduce the structurally sustained inequalities of prisons, gender relations, high school censorship, health care, legal reform, and media access, among other oppressive and discriminatory practices.

This is an important work. It should further allow and perhaps encourage other scholars to engage the world. At the same time, the 21 essays gathered here provide an assessment of the current state of communication activism: We are still largely reluctant to challenge fundamental structures even as we recognize how they impinge on equality and humanity. This is not a critique of any specific contributors given their particular predicaments; rather, it is a guide to the reader to observe how the practices of communication activism within each essay are dependent on the construction of possible actions. For example, censorship by a high school administration or limited access to broadcast media or health care could provide the focus for—the start of—communication activism rather than prescribe its limit.

Readers should decide for themselves if the contributors meet the editors' expectation for communication scholarship: "Taking direct vigorous action in support of or in opposition to a controversial issue for the purpose of promoting social change and justice" (p. 10). After all, is this not the point of communication activism and all of applied communication for social justice? So, what is to be done? Analyzing social conditions in form and function lead many to call for structural change, yet failing to see the function permits a problematic form to remain. Thus, we could ask: Do these essays by communication savvy scholars significantly reconstruct the "grammars that oppress or underwrite relationships of domination" (Frey et al., 1996, p. 112) or do they often accept the terms of "civility" and legality that mark inequality and injustice (e.g., Jovanovic et al.; Adams et al.; SunWolf; Campo & Frazer), accept arbitrary budget constraints as legitimate (e.g., Orbe; Shields & Preston; Ritchie; Esrock, Hart, & Leichty), or tacitly accept modest reform as either a goal or the only "realistic" possibility (e.g., Coopman on the benefits of low-power FM regulation that exists on the fringes of a corporate-consolidated commercial system; tobacco tax in Kentucky; small legal reforms for dealing with gender and race inequality; and some others). In undertaking communication activism, scholars would benefit greatly from some familiarity with Gramsci's concept of hegemony: Power resides with leadership that wins consent from subordinates and allies, and good leaders incorporate and even initiate reforms that meet some anticipated needs of the subordinate groups (gender, race, class, caste, and others) and simultaneously reinforce dominant relations, power, and control. In undertaking activism for change, as scholars from communication or other disciplines or occupations, or primarily as activists for social change, we should always ascertain how our efforts work with and offer opportunities for those struggling for social justice, and whether those efforts will lead to new social relations that are structurally equitable, or will they be simply reformist adjustments that reinforce ongoing structural inequalities, under the guise that there is no alternative.

So, what will one learn from reading these texts? In addition to the broad array of high-quality scholarship in the intentional service of social good, readers will discover diverse methodologies and theoretical frames presented in new and creative ways. What do we learn about communication activism? These books are not one more demonstration about the bona fides of communication activism or why it should be pursued although the collection does that admirably. It is clear that such scholarship is more widespread, vibrant, and diverse in its application than even a few years ago. It also is clear that such scholarship (as hegemonically tamed within the fold of respectable, civil, calm discourse and limited in its transformative scope) is now academically and politically acceptable. Communication activism scholarship, thus, [?] has arrived! On closer encounter, these volumes illustrate where we are in communication activism development and its prospects—and what that might mean for all of us.

Hartnett's contribution, in particular, illustrates the dramatic life-changing potential of communication activism. Change is the point, the heart, the theoretical underpinning for the entire scholarly activity. Hartnett and Palmer transcend the text by passionately illustrating the best of what we know from communication activism: Individuals may come to a new awareness, but the collective, the community, makes the change.

These two volumes cry out for a conversation on strategy and tactics within communication activist scholarship. Perhaps one of the next volumes by Frey and Carragee or others could be a meta-communication text about domination, social justice, and communication in both a global sense and in an applied sense, because we live in a globalized transnational capitalist world. What does that mean for communication activism, academically and professionally? It suggests that we could ask more directly what's wrong and right with this world? Not just what is wrong with our department, neighborhood, or public school but what is wrong and right with the way we are constituted as humans, as citizen-consumers? Moreover, what is possible? Perhaps we can take more from Freire and Boal than a call to dialogue? Perhaps we can consider their expressed commitment to a non-capitalist world? Can we imagine a world of more cooperation, less competition, and more communication? As we work to produce such a world, to get there, we still need more communication activism in the meantime and these texts collected by Frey and Carragee provide an important contribution, assessment, call, and hopefully impetus, to more communication action.

> —Lee Artz Purdue University Calumet

References

Conquergood, D. (1995). Between rigor and relevance: Rethinking applied communication. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 79-96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Fish, S. (2004). "Intellectual diversity": The Trojan horse of a dark design. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 50(23), B13.

Frey, L. R., Pearce, W. B., Pollock, M. A., Artz, L., & Murphy, B. A. O. (1996). Looking for justice in all the wrong places: On a communication approach to social justice. *Communication Studies*, 47, 110-127.

Kuypers, J. A. (2000). Must we all be political activists? American Communication Journal, 4(1). Retrieved October 29, 2007 from http://acjournal.org/holdings/vol4/iss1/

Hailer RSHM, Gretchen, and Pacatte FSP, Rose. *Media Mindfulness: Educating Teens About Faith and Media.* Winona, MN: Saint Mary's Press, 2007. Pp. 150. ISBN 978-0-88489-905-1 (pb.). \$24.95.

Media Mindfulness: Educating Teens About Faith and Media is geared towards a Catholic audience,

specifically theology teachers, youth ministers, Catholic parish staff and volunteers, and Catholic high school faculty and staff (p. 11). The authors define media mindfulness as "media literacy education in the context of faith formation" (p. 7). The book is designed as a *guide book* with two specific goals—(1) to assist those in a teaching role to enhance their personal understanding of media in a faith context, and (2) to explore the theology and spirituality of communication by placing media in the sacramental world created by God (p. 10). According to the authors, each chapter explores "media culture through two lenses—the lens of faith and the lens of mindfulness" (p. 16).

The first chapter, titled "All About Media Mindfulness," is particularly designed for the individual serving as teacher or facilitator of units, curriculum, or retreats rather than as a lesson for participants. The chapter's content ranges from a comparison of media and Christian values to the explanation of media literacy education and the characteristics of media mindfulness. Also, the chapter explains the media mindfulness strategy used to help teens explore various forms of media. Specifically, the authors adapted a diagram from *Believing a Media Culture* (Hailer et. al, 1996, p. 22) in which four questions are addressed (1) What is going on? (2) What is really going on? (3) What difference does it make? (4) What difference can I make?

Chapter 2 focuses on popular culture and media mindfulness. Although the authors consider popular culture to be one of eight media, they acknowledge a cultural definition of popular culture that includes many practices including food, clothing, language, mass media, etc. The chapter activities tend to focus on a marketing perspective of popular culture. The chapter's focus is placed on "culture" and why it is important to acknowledge what has become popular in the lives of teens.

Chapters 3 through 9 deal with media such as the advertising, print media, movies, music, television, electronic games, and the Internet. Chapter 3 places an emphasis on advertising techniques including sections on advertising alcohol and tobacco, political advertising, and public relations. Chapter 4 examines print media including books, newspapers, and magazines. Chapter 5 emphasizes the filmmaking process, movie ratings system, and broader issues such as pornography. Chapter 6 deals with the music industry issues ranging from the recording industry to radio. Chapter 7 focuses on television. Objectives for

this chapter range from understanding television as a business to the role of government in the television industry. Chapter 8 is designed to assist teens in critically examining electronic games. The focus of Chapter 9 is on the Internet. Finally, Chapter 10, titled "The Theology and Spirituality of Communication," explores the Catholic Church and its theology and spirituality of communication. An emphasis is placed on the post-Vatican II church.

Teachers and facilitators may choose to use each chapter in its entirety or select a section to supplement a lesson. All chapters (1-10) have 15 common elements to provide a selection of content for teachers or facilitators. The elements include (1) a section labeled "Scripture Connection"—which has a specific scripture selected from the Old or New Testament to help teens connect God's Word to the media; (2) a list of "Session Objectives"; (3) a definition and values section; (4) a section outlining a brief history of the medium; (5) an explanation of the church's approach to the medium; (6) a movies section that lists films related to the topic or medium being discussed; (7) a characteristics section that summarizes the key features of the medium; (8) a section that addresses specific suggestions of things to keep in mind when talking to teens about the medium; (9) a section labeled "Media Saints and Greats"—which is intended as a Catholic trivia section that highlights saints who are patrons of various media or could be; (10) a section labeled "Media Detective" suggests ideas for homework, group work, and research; (11) an activities section outlines at least four types of activities that can be assigned to participants; (12) a section of handouts related to the activities; (13) a section labeled "Cross-Curricular Connections" offers ideas for integrating the material into different subject areas; (14) a section labeled as "Reflective Exercise and Closing Prayer"; and (15) a Self-Evaluation—for the teacher or facilitator to evaluate how the session unfolded.

The book also includes six appendices. Appendix 1 is a bibliography of additional materials including periodicals, books, videos, and websites. Appendix 2 focuses on the major themes of Catholic social teachings ranging from life and dignity of the human person to care for God's creation. Appendix 3 provides more detail about media issues parents face with their children. It provides examples of a sample letter for a media mindfulness course directed towards the parents. It also has a fact-sheet that answers the questions about "What is an Effective and Responsible Catholic

Response to Television?" Appendix 4 focuses on Fair Use laws for using feature-length films, television programs, clips from television and movies, music, and the Internet. Appendix 5 provides further information about rating systems for television, video games, and movies. It also provides information about websites of organizations that focus on media literacy. Appendix 6 is the actual copy of the Self-Evaluation form to be used by the teacher or facilitator to evaluate a media mindfulness session or project.

The book is limited to teachers and facilitators who design lessons with the purpose of educating teens about Catholicism and media mindfulness.

—Jennifer F. Wood, Ph.D. Millersville University of Pennsylvania

Reference

Hailer, G., Zanzig, T., & Kielbasa, M. (1996). *Believing in a media culture*. Winona, MN: St. Mary's Press.

Levy, Pierre. *Cyberculture.* (Robert Bononno, Trans.). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2001. Pp. 259. ISBN 0-8166-3610-9; ISBN-13: 978-0-8166-3610-5 (pb.) \$19.50.

Unlike most books on the world of cyber technology, this one addresses the most profound cultural implications with two refreshing differences:

- plain and precise language that avoids technological jargon and provides a refreshingly intelligible approach
- an overarching analysis that builds from the fundamentals of cyberculture (but without specifics about hardware or software) to full scale observations about human interaction and society.

Pierre Levy, a philosopher who has taught at both the University of Paris and the University of Quebec, has focused his scholarship on cyberculture and social communication. Levy begins with a review of the fundamental elements that comprise the cyberculture: the technical infrastructure, the virtual world, digital technology, interactivity, and the virtualization of communication in cyberspace. At the core, Levy builds a case for cyberculture as a revolution comparable to the Enlightenment, a process that "extends (and surpasses) the philosophy of the Enlightenment . . . strengthening the ancient ideal of the emancipation and exaltation of the human, based on today's technology."

Levy draws examples from experiments in various realms of communication from art to virtual face-

to-face encounters in order to illustrate his broad argument that the world of cyberculture continues to profoundly alter human communication, but only through trial and error. Unlike blue sky optimists, Levy pays careful attention to the problems and failures in the growth of the cyber world.

From this foundation on the virtualization of culture—high and low alike—he moves to more profound theoretical issues, especially those related to knowledge and education. In perhaps his strongest chapter, Levy analyzes the essence of cyberculture: "Digitally mediated universality is transforming the conditions of life in society." Chaotic though the cyberspace is, Levy contends, it continues to redefine a "labyrinth that can't be mapped" because it continues to expand and change as it does so.

Cyberspace, he contends, has a kind of life of its own: "similar to certain ecological systems in which the original niche cannot contain the growing diversity which not only outgrows its original niche but expands to become a dominant life form." In its own way, cyber technology provides a communications infrastructure that becomes the basis for integration and coordination of other technological systems. The network thus created becomes the universality of cyberculture.

Levy builds from Walter Ong's construct of secondary oral cultures to a culture in which technology itself "dissolves the pragmatics of communication," and gives considerable attention to what he calls "the new relationship to knowledge" created by the cyber technologies. The outlines of his prediction can be seen in distance learning and interactive educational technologies, but Levy continually underscores the lack of boundaries as cyberculture continues to evolve with the expansion of the infrastructure.

Cyberculture's impact on democracy, which Levy calls electronic democracy, poses perhaps the most startling contribution of this closely reasoned book. Recognizing at the outset that democracy will resist cyberculture because it will require profound change in attitudes, organization, and political morals, he argues that cyberculture will lead to "exploration of different ways of *articulating* the difference between the ways cities operate and new forms of collective intelligence being developed in cyberspace" (italics in original). In Levy's view cyberculture will neither create virtual cities or neighborhoods within urban areas nor substitute virtual space for brick and mortar offices and universities nor simply become assimilated as part of the existing communication and transportation infrastructure.

Because it is more than a hardware system but also a human interaction process, cyberculture will, in Levy's view, provide universal access among participants "to make human groups as conscious as possible of what they are doing together and provide them with a practical means of coordination" (italics in original). Levy carefully distinguishes between universal access to cyber hardware and universal access to the process of collective intelligence brought to bear on a problem and issue. It is the latter, he argues, that is appropriate to democracy in the post-industrial, post-modern world.

In the final section of the book Levy lays out a set of problems arising with cyberculture. One of the most powerful conflicts is economic, setting the hardware/software manufacturers with consumer interests against socially oriented leaders who desire a knowledge system at the lowest cost and widest availability. Mass media themselves become adversaries in cyberculture to media arising from the grassroots, because the latter bypass the revenue mechanisms essential to the former. Nor is government immune to competition over access to communication from populist groups who oppose censorship and government control.

The public good, as Levy presents it, lies in "enabling human beings to conjugate their imagination and their intelligence for the development and emancipation of the individual" (italics in original). Levy decries the portrait of a technological utopia because it emphasizes the machinery rather than the freedom of human expression such a system enables.

Levy concludes with observations about human choices in the context of cyberculture and a chapter in which he asks and answers a series of common questions about cyberculture and his own views.

Robert Bononno deserves mention, for as the translator, he produced an exceptionally readable form of English, but one which seemed to capture considerable nuance and sophistication in Levy's arguments. The book features an index.

—William J. Thorn Marquette University

Quigley, Martin S. *Martin J. Quigley and the Glory Days of American Film 1915-1965.* Groton, MA: Quigley Publishing Company, Inc. 2006. Pp. i, 344. ISBN 0-900610-79-4 (hbk.) \$29.95.

That the Chicago Board of Censors banned a Mary Pickford movie in 1917 as too "anti-Prussian" is one of

the more intriguing morsels contained in this filial memoir about the pioneering movie moralist and trade publisher Martin J. Quigley. The Supreme Court had opened the door to such censorship in 1915 by ruling that films, as mere commerce, were not entitled to free speech protections. Making censor cuts to appease local prejudices would require untold numbers of profit-draining individual prints for each new release. Quigley stepped into the fray by forming a "public rights" league that gave the burgeoning movie industry's interests the veneer of a spontaneous grass-roots uprising.

Martin J. Quigley shows how hard-nosed business practices were wedded to early public decency campaigns. Hollywood was quick to realize that self-censorship was preferable to the whims of municipal and state censors, adopting the (Hays) Code in 1930 (which gestated into the current MPAA system in 1967). Quigley, a devout Catholic, was instrumental in working behind the scenes to formulate the Code, basing its contents on the Ten Commandments. When the Code soon proved toothless—an internal appeal process permitted the studios to evade compliance—a disappointed Quigley sought to reinvigorate the crusade through the Catholic Legion of Decency, which he helped steer and shape in consultation with prominent Jesuits.

While Martin J. Quigley remarks such moments of cultural history, readers seeking more than a passing glance will need to look elsewhere. Quigley's son—who took over his father's movie trade publishing empire in 1964—hasn't written a passable biography or history as much as collated a spiffy family scrapbook of correspondence, official documents, interviews, capsule movie-year histories, and Quigley editorials and testimonials. Such as it is, the narrative flow of Martin J. Quigley's loose chronological assemblage suffers from too many telegraphic bursts of diary-like non sequiturs, relevant to no one outside the Quigley family. For example, in 1928 the Coast Guard confiscated bootleg liquor on Quigley's chartered yacht; subsequently, "The Quigley children found the dinner table conversation stimulating" (p. 38). Immediately preceding this nondescript banality is the fact that Quigley and other Illinois Athletic Club regulars created a dental fund for Johnny Weissmuller, whose bad teeth "interfered slightly with his breathing" (p. 37).

The book's chronic lack of detail or factual context is vexing. Take the inclusion of a thank-you letter Howard Hughes wrote to Quigley in 1948:

It has not been very often in my life that someone has gone out of his way to do a substantial favor for me when he was not indebted or obligated to me. Yet, in this case, you scarcely knew me at all (and what impression you may have had was, I am afraid, not a favorable one). Nevertheless, you not only went out of your way but gave of your time and effort to an extent which leaves me unable to begin to thank you. (p. 86)

Well what exactly did Quigley do for Hughes? Was it a small kindness, involving a social affair; or, perhaps, a business matter of greater import? A more practiced biographer would have ascertained and explained the document's significance or omitted it as irrelevant.

Leaving aside the book's desultoriness and empty name-dropping, Martin J. Quigley is profitable as a gauge of cultural depravity. The past it evokes offers a stark picture of how far mass culture has plunged into its current abyss. In 1937 Quigley published a manifesto entitled Decency in Motion Pictures that warned misuse of the medium would destroy the "principles upon which home and civilization are based" and render "the motion picture the curse of the modern world" (p. 43). Quigley stated, "The function of art is to ennoble" (p. 44). Good and evil should never be confused, and the presentation of "sin, crime, evil, and sordidness" for dramatic purposes must never tip the moral scale of right values (p. 45). Furthermore, Quigley urged that special care be exercised in the treatment of certain subjects, such as, "Theft, robbery, safe-cracking, and dynamiting of trains, mines, buildings, etc. (having in mind the effect which a too-detailed description of these may have upon the moron)" (p. 52). Today, of course, the reversal of Quigley's idealism is total: Hollywood profits by mocking virtue and extolling vice.

Amateurish though *Martin J. Quigley* may be, there is an endearing and engaging quality about a son's encomium to his father—something that touches the universal sense of familial devotion and loyalty. Here is the author's account of how his father started his first trade publication in 1915, the year the "Germans were inching towards Paris" (p. 73).

It appeared to this vigorous, lithe young reporter with the penetrating blue eyes that a touch of good newspapering, sound journalism dealing with facts, presenting opinions honestly labeled, and all done with respect to the canons of the art of printing, might well find its reward in service to the motion picture industry, which needed so much. So it came that publication, *Exhibitors*

Herald, was born in Chicago. That slim leaflet, as tentative as the first spear of tender green pushed up from the acorn, held important destiny. . . . Uniquely and strangely, there was no other person in quite the position and attitude of this young man. He alone was concerned with the success of the whole motion picture industry and its continuing growing success as an art. (p. 73)

The book has both an index of persons and topics and an index of films.

—Tony Osborne Gonzaga University

Thussu, Daya Kishan. (2006). *International communication: Continuity and change* (2nd Ed.). London: Hodder Arnold Publication. Pp.384. ISBN13: 978-0-3408-8892-6 ISBN10: 0-3408-8892-X (pb.). \$35.00.

Based on the success of the first edition, which was published in 2000, the second edition of Daya Kishan Thussu's book, International Communication: Continuity and Change addresses the continuing dominance and dependency syndrome and explores the profound changes of the global context in international media and communication since the turn of the millennium. Through a thorough analysis of the evolution of international communication, Thussu finds the continuity of the dominance of a few of the world's most powerful nations via their control of both the hardware and software of international communication and the dependence of many less developed nations upon them. The prominent indicators of the changes include the rising international profile of the pan-Arab news network al-Jazeera, the transnationalization of Latin American telenovelas, the globalization of the Indian film industry, and the extraordinary growth of the Chinese media. Following the well-accepted format of general commentary with typical and new cases to exemplify the main concepts and arguments, the new edition explicates the continued patterns of dominance and the dependency and the emerging trends of changes within the globalization context of liberalization, deregulation and privatization, and their impact upon different audiences from various cultural backgrounds and with different international perspectives.

Besides the introductions to the first and second editions at the beginning of the book, notes on key terms in the glossary as well as three appendices of a chronology of international communication, useful websites, and discussion questions at the back, the book includes seven chapters. In Chapter 1, "The Historical Context of International Communication," Thussu provides a comprehensive overview of the historical development of communication, starting from the clay tablets of Mesopotamia, paper from China, and printing press in Germany, to the 19thcentury electric telegraph and the establishment of news agencies, and to the expansion of mass media, especially, radio and TV. Specifically, the roles of communication have been explicated in the making of colonial empires and the spreading of capitalism illustrated by the rise of Reuters in the parallel growth of the British Empire. Thussu also discusses in detail the regular propaganda in international communication during the Cold War, which took place between the two camps of the US-led NATO countries and the Soviet-controlled Warsaw members, as they debated the ideological confrontation of organizing the society inspired by Marxism-Leninism or free market democracy. This basic disagreement spilled over into other areas: the rhetoric of a free flow of information and the argument that the information system was used as a channel for western style modernization. Another debate that is elaborated in this chapter concerns the relationship between international communication and development. While the Southern countries considered the existing information system as creating a model of dependence which negatively affected the polity, economy, and society of the developing countries and demanded a New World Information and Communication Order, the West led by the USA resisted it by arguing that the proposed new order was in conflict with the fundamental Western values and the principle of the free flow of information.

In Chapter 2, "Approaches to Theorizing International Communication," Thussu carefully examines some of the most influential and competing theories that provide theoretical frameworks for approaching the subject of international communication, and he also critically assesses how these theories have been applied to understand the nature of international communication. For better understanding, he puts the theories into two categories: the political-economy approach and the cultural studies approach. The former category is concerned with the underlying structure of economic and political power relations, and Thussu highlights theories of the free flow of information, modernization, dependency,

structural imperialism, hegemony, and the public sphere. The latter category is mainly concerned with the creation of meanings in media texts within various cultural contexts. Under the second category, Thussu examines critical theory and cultural studies perspectives on international communication, theories of the information society, as well as discourses of globalization. Each theory is concisely summarized and critically interpreted. Together with the overview of the historical context, the key theories discussed in this chapter offer essential paradigms and contexts for thorough comprehension of the following chapters.

"Creating a Global Communication Infrastructure" (Chapter 3) examines the real nature of global communication infrastructure and the basic characteristics of transnational corporations (TNCs). As a result of the relevant agreements and regulations of the World Trade Organization and the International Telecom-munication Union and within the macroeconomic contexts of globalization, transnational media and telecommunications corporations have successfully led quite a number of Southern nationstates to deregulating, privatizing, and commercializing their communications industries and thus undergoing a paradigmatic shift from state to private control and from a state-centric view of communication to one governed by the rules of free-market capitalism. This process has created the favorable conditions for the TNCs to penetrate the emerging markets in the developing countries and gain benefits from the enormous potential of the service sectors. The TNCs are the biggest beneficiaries of the process of liberalization, deregulation, and privatization because it is characteristic of them to co-ordinate and control the stages of production within and between nations, to take advantage of geographical differences in the distribution of products, and to switch resources and operations in a global scale.

Chapter 4, "The Global Media Bazaar," focuses on the global media market. For the ultimate purpose of business profits through exploiting economies of scope and scale, a few large TNCs have gradually seized control of global media via a huge wave of mergers and acquisitions. Surveying the global growth of the communication industries in daily newspapers, weekly magazines, radio, TV, and the Internet, Thussu highlights the main theme of this chapter as the process of convergence of some major media and communication companies: Time Inc.

merging with Warner Com-munication, Disney purchasing American Broadcasting Corporation, and AOL [America Online] merging with Time Warner. While contextualizing the discussion of the chapter with case studies of Disney's Entertainment and Sports Network (ESPN) and Cable News Network (CNN), the author also shows his concern about the concentration of the global media into the hands of a few large TNCs, which might undermine media plurality and democratic discourse.

Thussu mainly answers two questions in Chapter 5, "The Global and the Local in Media Cultures." The first question concerns the effect of the one-way flows of international communication, especially the exports of U.S. movies and TV programs, on national and regional media cultures. The second question is about the debate whether such international communication and media are leading to the homogenization of cultures or whether they are bringing about a more complex pattern of global/national/local interactions. As for the effect, Thussu points out that although some contraflow from non-Western countries has been observed, international communication is generally a one-way traffic mainly from the major Western countries to the rest of the world. The non-Western world regards such global flow of the consumerist messages as a new form of cultural imperialism or Americanization and there have been concerns and even oppositions in the European Union, the Islamic world, and some Asian countries. With regard to the debate, while others predict that the existing international communication leads to the homogenization of cultures, Thussu argues that the homogenization has been counterbalanced by a hybrid form of global/national/local interaction, which can be demonstrated via the case study of Zee TV, the biggest Indian private multimedia network.

"Contraflow in Global Media" (Chapter 6) focuses on the contraflow in international communication between countries in the South and from the South to the North as a result the Western media influence and the advancement of information and technology industries. With a careful analysis of the complex process of international communication flow, Thussu notices that the flow is not purely a one-way traffic. It is observable that regional trans-border TV networks like the pan-Arab Channel Middle East Broadcasting Center and China's Phoenix TV channel have been squeezing from the periphery into the metropolitan centers of global media and communication industries. Besides the

regional media, the presence of the international players from the global South such as the Latin American telenovelas and the Indian feature movies has been increasingly witnessed in many parts of the world including the Northern countries. However, due to the small output and limited audience size, the contraflow of cultural products from the Southern countries will not shake the position of Western media dominance in the foreseeable future.

The last chapter, "International Communication in the Internet Age," wraps up the discussion of the positive and negative impact of information and technological innovation on international communication. Positively, advancement in the technologies of fiber optics, satellites, and the Internet has enabled instant flow of information across the globe. The electronic telegraph used to be the catalyst for the expansion of the new media of radio and TV and the establishment of news agencies. Satellites have provided high-speed and affordable access for consumers all over the world to receive information and entertainment. Internet connectivity across the globe has not only boosted e-commerce but also brought web presence to media organizations in both Northern and Southern countries. Negatively, however, it is the small number of countries and TNCs, which dominate the global information flow and international trade, that have been gaining the most benefits. Despite its unprecedented expansion, the Internet and the dominant language used on the Internet have actually created the global digital divide, excluding the majority of the world's population from the global information revolution. It is true that technologies such as satellites have greatly cut down the cost of access to information and entertainment, but they have effectively put everybody and everybody's business under constant surveillance. Although there has been booming trade on the Internet, there has been rampant online infringement of intellectual property rights (IPRs). Thus, there has been concern over governments' filtering of online flow of information and the enactment of a series of international treaties and agencies such as the Berne Convention and the World Intellectual Property Organization, which have come into existence to ensure proper IPR protection.

The main objectives of this book are to provide a thorough and comprehensive overview and critical analysis of the salient developments in international communication under one cover and in an accessible style. Thussu has achieved these purposes and more. First, the book is well-organized and highly readable with not only the introductions to the essential historical backgrounds and theoretical paradigms but also the critical analysis of the international communication practices in terms of social, economic, and political issues and the expansion of media and telecommunications TNCs within the globalization process of liberalization, deregulation, and privatization illustrated by engaging case studies.

Second, each chapter in the second edition has been thoroughly updated to reflect prominent emerging trends in global media and international communication as well as the latest research and case studies in the field. More importantly, Thussu presents the content of each chapter in the format of general commentary with brief summaries, insightful interpretations, and appropriate criticism. For instance, the concise introduction to Everett Rogers' top-down approach to international development is blended with the criticism that the creation of wealth on its own was insufficient. Instead, "the improvement of life for the majority of the population depended on the equitable distribution of that wealth and its use for the public good" (p. 44). Another example is Thussu's comment on cultural globalization which "implies a two-way relationship, but more often this is skewed by international power relations" (p. 164). Readers will find such presentation of the book content to the point, objective, and thought-provoking.

Finally, the book has already established itself as a key text for students of all communication and media studies. It has been not only adopted for courses across the world in the original English version but also translated into Chinese and Korean for classroom use and academic research. Nevertheless, one major limitation of the book lies in the fact that, on the one hand, Thussu emphasizes the recurring theme of the continuing syndrome of dominance of a few of the world's most powerful nations in international communication and dependency of many less developed nations upon them, both of which are driven by market forces. On the other hand, he expresses his wishful thinking that "sharing is central to the idea of communication" even though he himself admits that two-way communication on equal footing actually does not exist in international communication. Furthermore, when we take the recurring themes of the whole book into consideration, his call at the very end of the book for harnessing the new global communication infrastructure to "develop and sustain a people-centric capitalism" (p. 294) rather than the existent profit-centered capitalism also sounds somewhat too ideal for the time being.

—Chin-Chung Chao (Joy) and Dexin Tian School of Communication Studies Bowling Green State University

Announcements

Oral Tradition Available Online

John Foley, the editor of the journal *Oral Tradition*, has announced that all issues are now available online and free of charge at http://journal.oraltradition.org/

"This site now contains nearly 500 articles and 10,000 pages, with all of the contents downloadable as PDF files that visitors can read online or print out. The entire electronic archive of *Oral Tradition* is also searchable by keyword or author name, with phrase-based and Boolean searches possible as well."

The Center for Studies in Oral Tradition at the University of Missouri (http://oraltradition.org) now offers *Oral Tradition* to anyone worldwide with an Internet connection and a browser. "We hope that the online, open-access format will enlarge and diversify the journal's readership, and particularly that it will offer everyone interested in the world's oral traditions—regardless of their location and academic context—an equal opportunity to contribute actively to the discussion."

The next issue of *Oral Tradition* (volume 22, number 2) will be a special collection devoted to Basque traditions; it will include descriptive and analytical articles, interviews with oral poets, and an eCompanion with photographic, audio, and video support. Beyond that issue the journal will publish articles on Albanian oral law, Native American storytelling, modern Greek oral poetry, Welsh saints' lives, modern Balinese epic, and many other topics across the international spectrum.

National Conference for Media Reform

The U. S. National Conference for Media Reform will meet in Minneapolis on June 6-8, 2008. Activists, media makers, educators, journalists, policymakers and concerned citizens will converge to call for real and lasting changes to the media. For more information and registration information, see www.freepress.net/conference/.